



The City of San Diego
M E M O R A N D U M

RECEIVED
25 MAR 10 AM 9:58
INTERNAL AFFAIRS

DATE: February 11, 2025

TO: [REDACTED]

FROM: Julie Epperson, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Investigation #2025-0012

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. Force

Additional allegations may arise during the course of the investigation.

This investigation stems from an incident which occurred on Jan 05, 2025 / 1455 hours at 4400 Fanuel Street (IN# 25010006446). This is an Internal Investigation. Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Please review your own Body Worn Camera footage only. I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or Body Worn Camera footage other than your own prior to the interview. Detective Sergeant Sanchez at the Internal Affairs Unit will contact you to schedule an interview.

As the subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

You are hereby ordered not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Section 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual.

You are also ordered to answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Section 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual. Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination.



Internal Affairs Investigation #2025-0012

February 11, 2025

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.



Subject Officer Signature

03/06/25

Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

Joseph Book / Sgt / 7199

Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID#

3-6-25

Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-709 **within 10 days.**

JE/js



The City of San Diego
M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: February 11, 2025

TO: Demarco Prewitt ID# 1776, Police Officer II, Northern Division

FROM: Julie Epperson, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Investigation #2025-0012

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. Force

Additional allegations may arise during the course of the investigation.

This investigation stems from an incident which occurred on Jan 05, 2025 / 1455 hours at 4400 Fanuel Street (IN# 25010006446). This is an Internal Investigation. Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Please review your own Body Worn Camera footage only. I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or Body Worn Camera footage other than your own prior to the interview. Detective Sergeant Sanchez at the Internal Affairs Unit will contact you to schedule an interview.

As the subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

You are hereby ordered not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Section 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual.

You are also ordered to answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Section 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual. Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.

Sub 

02-26-25
Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

KEVIN STEIN / A/SGT / 7186
Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID#

2/26/25
Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-709 **within 10 days**.

JE/js



The City of San Diego
M E M O R A N D U M

DATE: February 11, 2025

TO: Brandon Inzunza ID# 1515, Police Officer II, Northern Division

FROM: Julie Epperson, Captain, Internal Affairs

SUBJECT: Internal Affairs Investigation #2025-0012

This is to inform you that you have been identified as a subject officer in an Internal Affairs investigation. This investigation concerns the following allegations:

1. Force

Additional allegations may arise during the course of the investigation.

This investigation stems from an incident which occurred on Jan 05, 2025 / 1455 hours at 4400 Fanuel Street (IN# 25010006446). This is an Internal Investigation. Please review any reports or other documents you prepared in connection with this incident, prior to your interview. Please review your own Body Worn Camera footage only. I hereby order and admonish you NOT to view any surveillance footage or Body Worn Camera footage other than your own prior to the interview. Detective Sergeant Sanchez at the Internal Affairs Unit will contact you to schedule an interview.

As the subject officer you will be allowed to have a representative present during your interview. Representatives must not be connected with this investigation.

You are hereby ordered not to discuss this investigation or the allegations with anyone other than the investigating supervisor or your legal representative. Your failure to comply with this order will be deemed insubordination and subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination. This requirement is set forth in Section 9.4 (Obedience to Lawful Orders Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual.

You are also ordered to answer all questions directed to you during your interview fully and truthfully, as required by Section 9.29 (Truthfulness Policy) of the San Diego Police Department Policy Manual. Your failure to answer all questions fully and truthfully will subject you to disciplinary action up to and including termination.

I have received a copy of this memorandum and agree to comply with the orders.



Subject Officer Signature

2/26/25

Date

This memorandum has been presented to me by:

KEVIN STEIN / A/SGT / 7186

Supervisor Name/Rank/PD ID#

2/26/2025

Date

Supervisor: Once the employee has signed the form, the original will be returned to the Internal Affairs Unit, MS-709 **within 10 days.**

JE/js

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE


TABLE OF CONTENTS

Case 2025-0012

<u>ITEM</u>	<u>PAGE NUMBERS</u>
WITNESS LIST	1
SUMMARY	2
ALLEGATIONS	3
INVESTIGATION	4-13
COMPLAINANT INTERVIEW	
██████████	14-15
WITNESS OFFICER INTERVIEWS	
████████████████████	16-17
SUBJECT OFFICER INTERVIEWS	
Officer Demarco Prewitt	18-26
Officer Brandon Inzunza	27-38
CONCLUSIONS	39-54
DOCUMENT LIST	55

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division: Internal Affairs

Approved By: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant ██████████ Date of Report: May 15, 2025



CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

**San Diego Police Department
Investigator's Report**

Date of Incident: January 5, 2025
Time of Incident: 1455 Hours
Location of Incident: 4400 Fanuel Street
Subject: Citizen's Complaint Investigation I.A. # 2025-0012


CONFIDENTIAL: THIS REPORT IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND/OR CITY ATTORNEY.

COMPLAINANT: 

SUBJECT OFFICER: **PREWITT, Demarco T., POII, ID #1776**
Date of Hire: 02-24-22
S.D.P.D., Northern Division – 1st Watch
(858) 552-1700 (W)
B/M, AGE: 27

SUBJECT OFFICER: **INZUNZA, Brandon, POII, ID #1515**
Date of Hire: 10-08-20
S.D.P.D., Northern Division – 1st Watch
(858) 552-1700 (W)
H/M, AGE: 27

WITNESS OFFICER: 

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant  Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 2

SUMMARY:

On January 5, 2025, Officers responded to a disturbance radio call (25010006446) regarding a group of transients using narcotics near Fanuel St and Garnet Avenue. The officers arrived on scene and contacted the subject of the radio call, [REDACTED]. Officer Prewitt attempted to detain [REDACTED]. [REDACTED] was uncooperative with the officers, which resulted in Officer Prewitt, and Officer Inzunza using force. [REDACTED] was later booked into jail for 148 PC- delaying police in the performance of their duty. The complainant [REDACTED], spoke to A/Sgt. Stein and filed a complaint against the officers for their use of force.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 3

ALLEGATIONS:

1. **FORCE:** [REDACTED] alleged Officer Inzunza used force.
2. **FORCE:** [REDACTED] alleged Officer Prewitt used force.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 4

INVESTIGATION:

On January 5, 2025, Internal Affairs received a complaint on behalf of the complainant [REDACTED]. The complaint was submitted by A/Sgt. Kevin Stein ID# 7186.


On January 9, 2025, Lt. Schmottlach assigned this case to me.

I reviewed the following documents related to this incident to include:

- Crime/ Arrest report
- Officer's ARJIS-9's
- Call for service
- Officers' BWC video

On January 31, 2025, I called [REDACTED] and left a voice message requesting a call back.

On February 6, 2025, I reviewed [REDACTED] booking photo taken on the day he was arrested, and I did not notice any injuries to his face.



On February 11, 2025, I emailed [REDACTED], Officer Inzunza, and Officer Prewitt the Subject Officer Notification.

On February 11, 2025, I spoke with the complainant, [REDACTED] over the phone and was audio recorded with her permission.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 5

On February 21, 2025, I contacted all three subject officers and confirmed their scheduled appointments.

I reviewed Officer Prewitt's ARJIS-9 and noted he documented his force by stating, "I used my open right hand to use a distractionary blow to the right side of [REDACTED] face."

A review of Prewitt's BWC showed Prewitt implemented a closed-hand strike to the right side of [REDACTED] face (3min 17sec on Prewitt's BWC).

I reviewed Officer Inzunza's arrest report. Officer Inzunza documented his force and stated, "I momentarily let go and resorted to using a distractionary blow to gain compliance. I used an **open right-hand palm** strike to strike the left side of [REDACTED] face approximately 3 times while continuing to tell him to give up."

A review of Officer Inzunza's BWC showed Office Inzunza implemented four closed hand strikes to the back of [REDACTED] head area (2min 28sec on Inzunza's BWC).

On February 28, 2025, D/Sgt. Clem and I interviewed all three subject officers in the Internal Affairs interview room. [REDACTED] was the subject officer's representative.

On February 28, 2025, I contacted In-service training and spoke to Sgt. Miranda. I requested that this incident be reviewed by a use-of-force expert.

On March 12, 2025, a disc containing all related documents of this incident was delivered to Use of Force Expert, Sgt. Michael Rhoten for review.

During the course of this investigation, I viewed Officer Prewitt's BWC video footage and noted there were three videos related to this incident. The first video was recorded on January 05, 2025, at 1452 hours. The video is 16 minutes and 45 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes of the video. The video begins with Officer Prewitt in the driver's seat, driving a patrol vehicle. I noted the following key moments in the video.

02min 05sec: Officer Prewitt exits his patrol car.

02min 11sec: Officer Prewitt tells the suspect [REDACTED] not to go anywhere.

02min 35sec: Officer Prewitt tells [REDACTED] he can go throw his trash away but needs to come back. [REDACTED] asks the officer what he has done. Officer Prewitt tells him he was in the area with him (referring to a transient near a shopping cart).

02 min 49sec: Officer Prewitt tells [REDACTED] he is being detained. Officer Prewitt says, "You are being detained right now because people were doing narcotics here, that is why we are here in the fucking first place."

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 6

02min 57sec: [REDACTED] tells Officer Prewitt he was not doing anything. Officer Prewitt says, "Take a fucken seat. You are being detained now."

03min 02sec: Officer Prewitt tells [REDACTED] to place his hands behind his back. [REDACTED] says, "No, no, no, no, I'm not doing anything." Officer Prewitt reaches for [REDACTED] left wrist and grabs it. [REDACTED] pulls his left wrist away.



03min 05sec: Officer Prewitt reaches toward [REDACTED] left shoulder area and appears to force [REDACTED] down onto the ground. Officer Prewitt says, "Put your fuckin hands behind your back." [REDACTED] lands on the right side of his body in a fetal position. Officer Prewitt takes hold of [REDACTED] left wrist with both hands while Officer Inzunza maintains control of [REDACTED]'s left shoulder.



Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 7

03min 11sec: Officer Prewitt holds onto [REDACTED] left wrist with both hands and pulls it toward him. It appears Officer Prewitt attempts to pull [REDACTED] onto his stomach. [REDACTED] actively resists officers by pulling his arms away from officers.

03mi 17sec: Officer Prewitt attempts to control [REDACTED] right arm as [REDACTED] is facing down on his hands, attempting to get up. Officer Prewitt has his left knee on [REDACTED] back. Officer Prewitt has his left hand on the back of [REDACTED] sweatshirt. Officer Prewitt closes his right hand into a closed fist and strikes [REDACTED] in the head area as [REDACTED] actively resists officers.



Officer Prewitt's closed fist as he prepares to strike [REDACTED]. Officer Prewitt pulls his fist back out of frame.



Officer Prewitt's closed fist as it moves forward striking [REDACTED] in the head region.

HEAD

3min 26sec: [REDACTED] appears to be bleeding on his right elbow. Three officers handcuff [REDACTED]



4min 24sec: [REDACTED] says, "They beat the fuck out of me for no reason."

10min 58sec: Officer Prewitt loosens [REDACTED] handcuffs after [REDACTED] complains the handcuffs are too tight.

16min 09sec: Officer Prewitt places [REDACTED] in the back of a patrol vehicle.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 8

(No other key moments noted.)

The second video was recorded on January 05, 2025, at 1513 hours. The video is 6 minutes and 53 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes of the video. The video begins with Officer Prewitt standing in front of his supervisor. I did not note any key moments in the video.

The third video was recorded on January 05, 2025, at 1625 hours. The video is 7 minutes and 08 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes of the video. The video begins with Officer Prewitt standing in the Sally Port at the headquarters building. I did not note any key moments in the video.

I viewed Officer Inzunza's BWC video footage and noted there is one video related to this incident. The video was recorded on January 05, 2025, at 1452 hours. The video is 16 minutes and 07 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes of the video. The video begins with Officer Inzunza in the front passenger's seat in a patrol vehicle. I noted the following key moments in the video as it relates to this case.

01min 58sec: Officer Inzunza exits the patrol vehicle and assists Officer Prewitt in taking the suspect [REDACTED] into custody.

02min 01sec: Officer Prewitt forces [REDACTED] down onto the ground.



Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 9

02min 04sec: [REDACTED] is on his right side on the ground. Officer Inzunza tries to take control of [REDACTED] left arm as [REDACTED] pulls away.

02min 16sec: It appears Officer Inzunza strikes [REDACTED] in the head area with a closed fist.



Officer Inzunza's right fist striking [REDACTED] head area.

02min 18sec: Officer Inzunza attempts to place [REDACTED] left wrist behind his back as [REDACTED] actively resists by pulling away from officers.

02min 25sec: Officer Prewitt attempts to gain control of [REDACTED] s right arm and [REDACTED] maintains control of [REDACTED] left arm as [REDACTED] is facing down on the ground.



2min 26sec: Officer Inzunza strikes [REDACTED] in the head area with his left hand. It's not clear in the video whether it is an open left-handed strike or a closed left-handed fist strike.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division: Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 10



02min 28sec: Officer Inzunza grabs the back of [REDACTED] hoodie with his left hand and strikes [REDACTED] in the head area with a closed right-handed fist four times.



2min 32sec: Officer Inzunza places his left knee on [REDACTED] back. [REDACTED] is handcuffed and taken into custody. Officer Inzunza says, "You stopped fuckin resisting? You fuckin did this to yourself."

3min 19sec: [REDACTED] says, "They beat the fuck out of me for no reason."

9min 45sec: [REDACTED] complains his handcuffs hurt.

13min 50sec: Officer Inzunza requests a supervisor to his location and informs dispatch they will be applying the "WRAP."

(No other key moments noted.)

I viewed [REDACTED] BWC video footage and noted there is one video related to this incident. The video was recorded on January 05, 2025, at 1453 hours. The video is 15 minutes and 40 seconds long. There is no audio for the first two minutes of the video. The video begins with [REDACTED] in the

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs

Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 11

driver's seat driving a patrol vehicle. I noted the following key moments in the video as it relates to this case.

01min 56sec: [REDACTED] exits his patrol vehicle and assists Officer Inzunza and Officer Prewitt taking [REDACTED] into custody. Officer Inzunza attempts to gain control of [REDACTED] left arm, while Officer Prewitt attempts to gain control of [REDACTED] right arm.

2min 02sec: Officer Prewitt says, "Shut the fuck up."

2min 08sec: Officer Inzunza strikes [REDACTED] in the head area with a left-handed closed fist. [REDACTED] attempts to handcuff and gain control of [REDACTED] left arm.



Left closed handed strike to the head area.



02min 09sec: Officer Inzunza brings back a closed-handed right fist and strikes [REDACTED] in the head area.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 12



Right closed handed strike
to the head area.

2min 20sec: Officer Inzunza says, “You stop fucking resisting. You fucking did this to yourself.”

9min 40sec: [REDACTED] complains the handcuffs are hurting him. Officer Prewitt adjusts [REDACTED] handcuffs.

(No other key moments noted.)

After reviewing all documents, video, and audio interviews, I determined [REDACTED] is a witness officer to this incident.

After reviewing the BWC video, I determined the officers’ use of profanity was necessary to control a dangerous and violent situation.

On May 9, 2025, I received the Use of Force review completed by UOF Expert Sgt. Mike Rhoten. The following is the summary of the review:

Summary:

It is my opinion that Officer Inzunza’s use of a closed fist strikes to the back [REDACTED] head in this moment would be considered force and is not consistent with what is taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer Inzunza’s actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt did not punch [REDACTED] with a closed fist. I believe Officer Prewitt intended to hit [REDACTED] with an open hand, distraction strike technique but failed to realize that he was inadvertently hitting [REDACTED] with a palm heel strike.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 13

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt's use of a closed fist strike to [REDACTED] face in this moment would be considered force and is not consistent with what is taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer Prewitt's actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

All interviews were audio recorded, unless otherwise noted, and the recordings will be maintained with the Internal Affairs file. The statements are "in essence" synopses of the interviews. (For exact and complete details of the interviews, refer to the audio recordings.)

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 14

Complainant Interview: [REDACTED]

On January 05, 2025, at 1525 hours, A/Sgt. Kevin Stein interviewed the complainant, [REDACTED]. The interview was recorded with the use of A/Sgt.'s BWC. The following is a synopsis of the interview. [REDACTED] statement, A/Sgt. Stein's questions, and [REDACTED] answers were incorporated into the following statement. (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the BWC recording.)

I would like to let you know what I saw and heard. Our shift change happens right at 3 pm, so we got here around 2:53 pm. I pulled into the parking lot, and I could hear a man yelling. I thought it was two homeless people fighting because we have had that happen in this area.

We have not had any issues with the guys over here (pointing in the direction where the arrest occurred). They haven't been loud, but sometimes they take up space on the sidewalk.

I saw one of the men on the ground, and I could see he was handcuffed. I got the officers' names and badge numbers. I could see [REDACTED] striking the man in handcuffs repeatedly. He was striking him over and over as the man was yelling.

It lasted more than a few seconds and it was repeated strikes. One of the veterans in my building came out and witnessed what happened. I stepped in front of my veteran and had him move back.

I was confused because the man was restrained. It was upsetting for our veterans who witnessed it. I was shocked and confused because the man was restrained, and it seemed like the situation was handled, and there were multiple officers there.

Multiple (police) cars pulled up. The incident seemed very excessive to me. I would like a formal investigation. I have never seen force used on someone in handcuffs. The strikes were made with a closed hand. The man on the ground was saying, "Help."

On February 11, 2025, I spoke to [REDACTED] over the telephone and with her permission audio recorded the conversation. The following is a synopsis of the interview. [REDACTED] statement, my questions, and [REDACTED] answers were incorporated into the following statement. (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.)

My shift started before 3 pm. I heard a commotion around the corner as I was parking my car. I rounded the corner. I was standing on the sidewalk at the corner. I could see across the street that there was an unhoused person on the ground.

I recognized the person on the ground who was in handcuffs. I have seen him before. There were three officers standing over him. One of the officers was striking the man on the ground. The man on the ground had his knees bent behind him. I heard yelling from the man on the ground. I saw at least two to

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 15

three closed fist strikes on the side of the male. The strikes landed next to the male's stomach toward the waist.

The officer who delivered the strikes was a white male officer, he was 5'10" in height, with short hair. I was 20 feet away when I observed the strikes. People were coming out of my facility and began to cross the street.

I stood in front of one of them to prevent them from crossing the street. The officer stopped striking the male on the ground. The other two officers placed the male in the back of the police car and the officer who struck the male went to his car.

We helped get the people back in our facility. A co-worker and I came back outside. When I saw the three strikes, the male's hands were behind his back. His hands looked like they were handcuffed. The male on the ground was yelling for help and saying, "That hurts."

The interview ended at 1143 hours.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 16

Witness Officer Interview: [REDACTED]

On February 28, 2025, at 0901 hours, D/Sgt. Clem and I interviewed [REDACTED] in the Internal Affairs interview room at the SDPD headquarters building. I read [REDACTED] the administrative admonishment and I ordered him to answer my questions. Representative [REDACTED] was present as [REDACTED] employee representative. The interview was audio recorded. [REDACTED] statement, questions we asked him, and his answers were incorporated into the following statement: (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.)

I reviewed my BWC footage and looked at my police report (A-9) related to the case you are investigating. I recall responding to Fanuel and Garnet Ave. for a 415 disturbance on January 5th, 2025.

We received the radio call of transient males smoking narcotics out of a pipe and encroaching the sidewalk. I was enroute. I was maybe less than a block out. I was in visual distance of the other two officers who were there.

Right as I was stopping at the stop sign, I heard, "Cover now fighting one." I looked through my windshield to see them actively wrestling.

This individual looked like he was trying to get away. I activated my code 3 lights and sirens. I crossed the street. I jumped out of my car, activated my BWC. It looked like the male was on his knees, trying to stand up and get away. The officers were wrestling with him.

I got behind the male suspect. I grabbed his left wrist and then I attempted to put him in handcuffs while he was tucking his arms under his body. I'm using my full strength to pull his left arm out from behind his back.

Eventually, he let up, and I was able to manage to put a handcuff on his left wrist while Officer Prewitt was struggling to get his right wrist down. He let up, and then I put my handcuff on his right wrist. The force ended there. He was taken into custody. The male was charged with 148 resisting a police officer and the encroachment violation. The force I used was physical strength. I did not use any other force.

It looked like Officer Prewitt used physical strength as well. I mean, he was trying to wrestle him to the ground. The suspect was trying to get up and pulling his right wrist back. I did not see Officer Prewitt strike [REDACTED]

Officer Inzunza used physical strength to wrestle the suspect. Officer Inzunza looked like he was trying to grab the suspect's wrist until I took over. I saw Officer Inzunza's elbow move, but I couldn't tell if he was making any kind of contact. I couldn't see any fists or hand movements. Just elbow movement. I was more focused on trying to grab the suspects' wrist. I didn't see any strikes from Officer Inzunza. I did not

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 17

hear any strikes. After the incident, I heard Officer Inzunza talk about how he had to use the distraction blow after the fact.

He said he used an open-hand strike. "I guess he was trying to open-hand strike him (the suspect) to get him to...Because he was reaching his hands under his body." For safety reasons, he applied an open-hand strike him. It was effective because we were able to pull his arms out.

I don't know how many times Officer Inzunza said he used the strike. [REDACTED] displayed active resistance. I did not use profanity towards [REDACTED] I did hear Officer Prewitt use profanity towards [REDACTED]

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: What did Officer Prewitt say?

I can't remember exactly, "Stop fucking resisting."

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Anything else?

I can't remember what else he said.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you hear Officer Inzunza use profanity towards [REDACTED]

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: What did he say?

I can't remember everything exactly. Pretty much along the same lines as "stop fucking resisting" and trying to yell over [REDACTED] because he was yelling as well.

I don't believe anyone sustained any injuries. I took photographs.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Is there anything else you would like to add, or you feel would be helpful for this investigation?

No.

The interview concluded at 0911 hours.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 18

Subject Officer Interview: Officer Demarco Prewitt ID# 1776

On February 28, 2025, at 0929 hours, D/Sgt. Clem and I interviewed Officer Prewitt in the Internal Affairs interview room at the SDPD headquarters building. I read Officer Prewitt the administrative admonishment and I ordered him to answer my questions. Representative [REDACTED] was present as Officer Prewitt's employee representative. The interview was audio recorded. Officer Prewitt's statement, questions we asked him, and his answers were incorporated into the following statement: (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.)

I reviewed my report and BWC related to the case you are investigating. I recall responding to Fanuel and Garnett Ave. for a 415 disturbance on January 5th, 2025, at 1443 hours.

We got a call saying that people were smoking narcotics in the area. I arrived there and contacted two people who were sitting together. I'm getting out of the car and one of the subjects was trying to get up and walk away. This is while all their belongings were on the sidewalk blocking the walkways.

I contacted one male who sat on the ground. I told [REDACTED] not to go anywhere and he said, "Oh, I'm just throwing trash away." I told him he could throw his trash away, but he needed to come back to me. I was being nice to him. I tried to do the nice approach.

I informed him that he was being detained. He continued to say "no" and he didn't do anything. He told me he was going to go throw his trash away. I told him I needed him to sit down now. I told him I didn't want him to go throw his trash away anymore. I didn't want him to walk away from the scene.

He kept saying he wasn't doing anything. I asked him like three to four times to take a seat.

I ended up raising my voice. I cussed at him to get him to respond to me. I am familiar with him and how he is. He usually does not get this way.

I usually have good conversations with him. He continued to refuse my commands. He was talking while I was talking to him. I informed him to place his hands behind his back.

He said, "No, I didn't do anything." I tried to grab his left arm. That's when he pulled away from me and then I took him to the ground. Once I took him to the ground, I was on top of him. He was in the fetal position.

He had his arms and elbows tucked underneath him. I was behind him, and I was trying to grab his right arm from underneath him. I used my right hand to basically do a distractionary blow to the right side of his face (**6min 53sec in audio**).

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 19

The blow was effective. I was able to get his right arm from underneath him and then I basically held his arm towards his rear until the handcuffs were placed on him.

The probable cause to detain [REDACTED] was the encroachment violation because his things were blocking the sidewalk. The probable cause was also based on the radio call as well. He was sitting with [REDACTED], who is known to have had narcotics on him on multiple occasions. [REDACTED] also has a fourth waiver as well and he's known for selling drugs in the Pacific Beach area.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez- 8min 05sec: In your report, and feel free to reference it, you wrote, "I informed [REDACTED] that he was being detained because he was the subject of our radio call and they were encroaching with all their belongings on the sidewalk." Do you recall saying that to him?

Saying?

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Saying that he was encroaching or anything else?

I informed him that the radio call was related to someone doing narcotics, which made him the subject of the radio call for being in the area.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: But do you recall mentioning that he was encroaching?

I did not mention that, but his things, like I said, his things were all over on video, all over the place, Sir.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez- 9min 43sec: Do you agree that there was no mention of encroaching on the sidewalk when you spoke to [REDACTED]

On the video yes, I agree.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Can you just explain to me why did you write that on your report that you mentioned the encroaching on your report?

Because that's what he was doing. I was developing PC as I was walking up to him as well.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez- 10min 15sec: Tell me why you wrote that you informed him about encroaching when that did not happen. What was the reason? Do you understand what I'm asking?

Question: [REDACTED]: Were you more so referencing in your report the PC that you developed in your mind, yet had not communicated it to him at that moment?

Yes.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 20

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez- 10min 58sec: When you spoke to [REDACTED] you said... This is per your BWC, "You are being detained right now because people were doing narcotics here. That is why we are here in the fucking first place." And then later you said, "Take a fucking seat. You are being detained." Do you recall saying that?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Why did you use profanity when you spoke to [REDACTED]

Because it was a form of de-escalation. In my me experience, he's in the system and he should respond to that language. Especially dealing with us. Um, but like I said, he usually isn't like that, and I usually don't have to do that with him.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: You mentioned this was a form of de-escalation. Can you elaborate a little bit more on why it is de-escalation?

Because he's been in the system. He's been probably...can you re-ask your question? I'm sorry.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: You said by using profanity towards [REDACTED] It's a form of de-escalation.

Yes, trying to get him to respond to what I was commanding him to do. That was what I was doing. I believe that he was going to respond better if I did that.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: He would respond better if you use profanity. Is that correct?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-12min 53sec: Do you recall reaching for [REDACTED] left wrist?

Yes

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did he pull away?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: What level of resistance did [REDACTED] display?

Active resistance.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 21

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: In your report, you wrote, "I then grabbed [REDACTED] with both of my hands on his left shoulder and we fell to the ground." Can you elaborate more on whether any force was used to cause [REDACTED] to fall to the ground?

I did not take him down because as he was walking away from me. When I went to go grab him a second time, I just grabbed him and that's how we fell. I don't know if he tripped over anything or anything like that, but from what I remember, I grabbed him, and we fell. I didn't tackle him or anything like that.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: So, you didn't push him to the ground?

I don't recall that I did.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez- 14min 02sec: In your report, you wrote, "I used my open right hand to use a distractionary blow to the right side of [REDACTED] face." Is that statement accurate? Let me ask it another way. I'll just show you a still photo. In the still photo that I'm going to show you...this is your BWC camera. It has your left hand on the back of [REDACTED]'s head area and on the right side of the frame a closed hand. When I took the screenshot, this hand was heading towards [REDACTED] head.

(Screen shot photo presented to Off. Prewitt.)



SHOWN AT
8945 HOURS TO BREWITT
2/28/25

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: My question to you is in your report you wrote that it was an open-handed strike, but on video it shows that it was a closed handed strike. So please explain the inconsistency?

"I didn't hit him with my fist um I had hit him with majority of my palm, so I opened my hand like this with my nails tucked and my thumb to the side my thumbs to the side and the picture as well and that's how I was able to hit him on the side of the face but..."

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 22

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: By you writing in the report that it was an open-handed strike, is that accurate?

"No, no, I guess not from the from the palm strike, it was open palm. But I guess not a open hand."

Question: [REDACTED] - 16min 29sec: Were you trying to use your fist?

No, not at all.

Question: [REDACTED]: Were you trying to use the palm of your hand but protect your fingers?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: Have you ever experienced hyperextension from using...

My hand before, yes. I dislocated my pinky before. I try my best not to get hurt.

Question: [REDACTED]-16min 51sec: It hurts if you ever tried to punch somebody with your fist, right?

Yeah.

Question: [REDACTED]: Was he still moving around while you were trying to target his face?

He was.

Question: [REDACTED]-17min 05sec: You're trying to protect your finger from being injured again. Is that accurate?

Yeah.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-18min 02sec: Prior to striking [REDACTED] what was he doing for you to strike him in the face?

He was tucking his arms. He was in the fetal position. He was tucking in his arms, and I kept giving him commands to give me his right arm. He was basically lying on his arms in the fetal position.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Do you agree [REDACTED] was face down when you struck him?

Yes.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 23

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did [REDACTED] have the ability to strike you while he's facing down?

No. Not with his arms tucked underneath him.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: What level of resistance was [REDACTED] displaying?

Active resistance.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-19min 11sec: When you struck [REDACTED] in the face, what did you want him to do?

I wanted him to either make that reaction where he goes to either protect his face...essentially bring his arms from underneath him. So, either to protect his face or just move his arms from underneath him. Then I can control his right arm.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Was the strike you used effective?

It was.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: How was it effective?

He did what I wanted him to do. He put his hands towards his face area which were underneath him, and that's when I was able to control his arm and place it behind his back.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Were there other force options available to you at the time force was used?

No, I feel like what I used was the best option, Sir.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you apply any other additional strikes?

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you say, "Put your fucking hands behind your back?"

I did.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Why did you use profanity toward [REDACTED]

I used profanity because we were in the heat of combat at that time, and I just wanted him to go with our commands.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 24

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-20min 44sec: Did your partner, Officer Inzunza say, "Hey, mother fucker. Put your hands behind your back, mother fucker." Do you recall your partner, Officer Inzunza, saying that? Or anyone else?

I don't recall.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you witness Officer Inzunza strike [REDACTED]

I did not. I thought I was the only one at that point.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you witness [REDACTED] strike [REDACTED]

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-21min 29sec: Did you or anyone sustain any injuries?

No...I mean scrapes to the knees, but that's not...

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Who sustained the scrapes?

Me.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Both knees?

Just my left knee.

Question: [REDACTED]: Was he ever patted down for weapons?

No, not at that point. We didn't have the opportunity to. I mean, after the fact, when he was in handcuffs, but not when he was standing up.

Question: [REDACTED]: So, in the moment when you felt that you needed to use force, did you believe that there was a possibility he may have had access to a weapon?

Yeah, of course. He's known to have meth pipes. I mean, he's transient, too. So, they're known to carry knives as well.

Question: [REDACTED]: Why would they carry a knife for?

For protection.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 25

Question: [REDACTED]: The subject of the radio or the primary cause of the radio call was for narcotic use, was that correct?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: You said glass pipe?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: Possibly syringes?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]-22min 45sec: Is that a realistic fear to have in the field of a subject you're contacting for narcotics use, to have a weapon, and now he's actively resisting you?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: Now going back to the language that was used, is that typically the language that individuals in the street or with criminal history, that have been in prison, or jail even are accustomed to?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: Is that something we generally practice, but is a form of effective communication with them?

Correct.

Question: [REDACTED]: I don't know if you do, but you're surrounded by other individuals in the area as well. Is that accurate?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: It was you and your partner there at the time?

Yes. My partner was still in the car, but yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: You're trying to take command presence at the scene and establish you're in control of the scene, though it is just two of you and you are outnumbered.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 26

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]-23min 47sec: He did not have a present ability to strike you. Is that correct?

Correct.

Question: [REDACTED]: He still may have had access to weapons?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: OK. No other force was needed?

No.

Question: [REDACTED]: Did he need any medical treatment at all?

No.

Question: [REDACTED]: Did he have any complaints?

No, no, not at all.

Question: [REDACTED]: Afterwards, what was your rapport with him after the incident?

We were good to go. I think it's on BWC. He apologized for the incident. And then after that, we were just quiet.

Question: [REDACTED]: He didn't make any mention of the way that you had spoken to him?

No.

Question: [REDACTED]: Does he frequently swear or anything like that when he communicates with you?

I don't recall.

The interview concluded at 0953 hours.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 27

Subject Officer Interview: Officer Brandon Inzunza ID# 1515

On February 28, 2025, at 1015 hours, D/Sgt. Clem and I interviewed Officer Inzunza in the Internal Affairs interview room at the SDPD headquarters building. I read Officer Inzunza the administrative admonishment and I ordered him to answer my questions. [REDACTED] was present as Officer Inzunza's employee representative. The interview was audio recorded. Officer Inzunza's statement, questions we asked him, and his answers were incorporated into the following statement: (For exact and complete details of the interview, refer to the audio recording.)

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-2min 57sec: I'm investigating a complaint filed by a citizen. The allegation is force, which occurred on January 5th, 2025. Did you review any documents of this case I'm investigating?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Which document is that?

The arrest report.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you review your BWC video related to this case?

Yes, I did.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Do you recall responding to Fanuel and Garnet Ave for a 415 disturbance on January 5th, 2025, at 1443 hours?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Please describe the events that occurred once you arrived.

I was with my partner. Upon arriving, my partner, who was the driver, stepped out of the vehicle and went to contact two transients with their belongings near a postal box. While I was sitting in the car trying to transmit traffic, I suddenly heard a commotion and thought my partner was in a physical fight.

So, I joined. I saw my partner in a physical confrontation. I immediately went hands-on with the subject. While I was trying to get him to the ground, he tucked his knees underneath and reached toward his waistband. I was trying to get his left arm and pull it behind his back. He was actively resisting. He tensed up while curling up into a ball.

I ran out to help my partner. I believe he didn't do a proper pat-down, so we didn't know if he had any weapons, sharp objects, syringes, or anything he was trying to conceal from officers. My understanding

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 28

was that upon arriving, he attempted to walk away even after we told him he was detained and that he was the subject of radio call for narcotics and blocking the pathway.

During the use of force, [REDACTED] continued to tense up. [REDACTED] arrived to assist me. [REDACTED] took my hand placement. I believe it was [REDACTED] left hand. I saw that [REDACTED] was struggling. So, I delivered three discretionary blows.

I had a previous incident with an open hand-strike where I overextended my hand. I injured my wrist for a couple of months. I changed the technique and changed the open-hand into the curled fingers, and a curled thumb inside with the curled fingers tucked in like this in a similar fashion. (5m 57s into audio) I attempted to hit [REDACTED] with the palm of my hand in a sideways underhook motion.

When I tried to deliver the distractionary blow, his hood was worn over his head. I primarily tried to hit his face. I tried to hit the side of his face, but due to the hood being up, I was unable to deliver accurate strikes. We were so low to the ground. I was trying to deliver the blow in an upper-cut fashion, I ended up short.

I was unable to deliver effective blows in the method I wanted. After I delivered the strikes, I was able release some of the tension, and we placed him in handcuffs.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-7min 01sec: Referring to your report, you wrote. "The shopping cart was placed in position along the sidewalk, likely to obstruct pedestrian traffic as they would likely have to walk around the shopping cart. [REDACTED] was detained for 540110 SDMC." Do you agree you wrote that?

Yes, I did.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: OK, I'm going to present to you a still photograph of your BWC video. In this photograph, it shows you're walking up toward your partner at 1455 hours and 06 seconds according to the still photograph. This photograph depicts a shopping cart off to your right that's against a wall, and it also shows your partner speaking with [REDACTED] and then it shows another subject sitting on the ground with the shopping cart off to the left, near a mailbox. Can you identify which shopping cart you were referring to when you wrote "They would likely have to walk around the shopping cart."

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 29



SHOW 1024 Hours - to OFF. INZUNZA
BY SANCHEZ # 5560

(Still photograph presented to Officer Inzunza.)

I was referring to the shopping cart on the right.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: On the right?

On the furthest right.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: The one that's up against the wall?

Correct.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-8min 50sec: What you wrote in your report is accurate?

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 30

Correct, yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-9min 36sec: Did you hear Officer Prewitt use profanity toward [REDACTED]

Initially, no.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: At two minutes and 16 seconds, on your BWC, shows you holding on [REDACTED] left arm with your left hand as he is facing down and you strike [REDACTED] on the left side of his head area with a right close handed fist. Do you recall doing that?

Delivering a blow to the side of his head, yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: So, here's a still photo that I'm going to show you. It was taken at 2 minutes and 16 seconds. This is your right hand. So again, the still photo shows Officer Inzunza's right hand near [REDACTED] left side of his head. I'm just showing you this to refresh your memory. Do you recall applying that strike? And again, this is your right fist. And if you'd like, I can show you video also. Does that refresh your recollection?



INZUNZA 2MIN 16

1026 hours - 2/20/25
Shown to INZUNZA BY
SPV. # 5560

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 31

(Still photograph presented to Officer Inzunza.)

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Do you recall applying that strike?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-12min 11sec: Prior to striking [REDACTED]..I'm going to focus on specific strikes. Not overall strikes, just that specific strike that I showed you a still photo of. Prior to striking [REDACTED] what was he doing for you to strike him in the head area?

He was actively resisting. He was tucked into a ball on his knees. He was tensing up both of his arms, and we were unable to place them (hands) behind his back far enough to place handcuffs safely on him.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Do you agree [REDACTED] was face down when you struck him?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did [REDACTED] have the ability to strike you while he's facing down?

He wasn't in handcuffs, so yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: What level of resistance was [REDACTED] displaying?

Active resistance.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: By striking [REDACTED] in the head area, what did you want him to do?

I wanted him to essentially loosen the tension on his arms, lay flat on the ground, and allow us to place his arms properly behind his back to place handcuffs on both of his wrists.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Was the strike you applied at 2 minutes and 16 seconds to [REDACTED] head area effective?

Which consecutive strike was that?

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: The very first one.

No.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 32

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: It was not effective?

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-14min 24sec: BWC video captured at 2 minutes and 26 seconds...BWC shows you strike [REDACTED] in the head area with the left hand. Here's a still photo and it's not clear whether it was an open hand or closed. But this still photo I'm going to show you shows your left hand heading toward [REDACTED] head area. Do you recall making that strike?



INZUNZA 2MIN26

*SPAWN AT 1030 hrs 2/28/25
to OFF INZUNZA OF
SERVICER # 5560.*

(Still photograph presented to Officer Inzunza.)

Not with my left hand, no.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-16min 13sec: At 2 minutes and 28 seconds on your BWC video, it shows you transition over to [REDACTED] head area and strike [REDACTED] with a closed right hand in the head area at least four times. Do you recall doing that?

Not four times. I only recall three times.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Describe those strikes you used on [REDACTED]

As I said before, it was meant to be an open hand palm strike with the thumb tucked and the fingers tucked. It was delivered in an upper-cut fashion in order to target his front face. Primarily his front face, and secondarily the side of his face.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-17min 19sec: You believe that is an open hand strike by what you just displayed to me?

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 33

Correct.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Prior to striking [REDACTED] three times, which you mentioned...what was he doing for you to strike him in the head area three times?

He was tensing his arms, pulling his hands toward his waist.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: To confirm, was [REDACTED] face down when you struck him?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did [REDACTED] have the ability to strike you while he's facing down?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Do you recall what your partners were doing at the time you implemented those strikes?

DeMarco Prewitt was ahead of me to the left, and I believe he had control of his right arm, trying to put it behind his back. And when I delivered that blow, [REDACTED] was a little bit to the right of me, adjacent to me, and he took over the left hand, which I previously had a grip on.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-19min 07sec: Is it fair to say you had two officers that had a hold of both arms?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: And I'll ask again, did [REDACTED] have the ability to strike you at that point?

If either [REDACTED] or Prewitt were to lose their grip or overpower them, yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: And what level of resistance was a [REDACTED] displaying?

Active resistance.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: The three strikes you applied to [REDACTED] head area, was it effective?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: If it was effective, how?

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 34

After those three strikes were delivered, the tension on both of his arms loosened, and officers were shortly and very quickly able to pull his wrists together and place handcuffs on both.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-20min 23sec: Were there other force options available to you at the time force was used?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: What other force options were available?

Baton.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: On your BWC video you said, "You stop fucking resisting. You fucking did this to yourself." Do you recall saying that?

Yes, I do.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Why did you use profanity when you spoke to [REDACTED]

Due to the subject's criminal history of him being through I guess what you would call the system. Some people only respond to profanities or vulgar language.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you have prior contacts with [REDACTED]

He looked familiar, yes. In the Garnet and Fanuel area where the other transients and [REDACTED] hang out.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: The reason I asked that question...I'm just wondering whether you are familiar with his demeanor and how he responds to commands.

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez-22min 10sec: In your report, you wrote, "I momentarily let go and resorted to using a distractionary blow to gain compliance, I used an open right-handed palm strike to strike the left side of [REDACTED] face approximately three times while continuing to tell him to give up." Is that accurate what you wrote?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Now you described your open hand strike to be thumb tucked in with the four fingers overlapping the thumb?

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 35

Correct.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Were you trained that way to identify that as an open-handed strike?

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: You weren't trained?

I don't recall.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you apply any other additional strikes that I have not covered?

Besides the 4th strike? No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you say, "Hey, mother fucker. Put your hands behind your back mother fucker?"

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: And I'll ask again, why did you use profanity toward [REDACTED]

Certain subjects, especially during the middle of the use of force, only respond to profanities or vulgar language.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you witness Officer Prewitt strike [REDACTED]

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you witness [REDACTED] strike [REDACTED]

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Did you or anyone sustain any injuries?

Afterwards, my supervisor asked if I was OK, and I told him that I "ringed" the back of my neck. But because it wasn't a serious injury or anything like that, I didn't document it at all.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: You only document serious injuries?

Correct.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 36

Question: D/Sgt. Clem-24min 52sec: When you delivered the strike to his head, did you tell him what you wanted him to do?

I don't recall the sequence of the commands.

Question: D/Sgt. Clem: Do you recall your training from the Academy on how to deliver an open palm strike?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Clem: Can you describe that?

Sure. Fingers are parallel in a slap motion. I believe the force is applied to the middle.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: With your fingers extended?

With the fingers extended.

Question: D/Sgt. Clem: When you were demonstrating how you delivered your strike to [REDACTED] you had your fist closed, so...

Correct.

Question: D/Sgt. Clem-25min 51sec: You wrote in your report that you delivered the right like an open hand strike. So, do you think there's a difference in how your hand looks?

Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Clem: Were you trained in the Academy to deliver your palm strike the way you demonstrated?

I believe so, yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: When you were taught in the Academy to punch, were you taught to tuck your thumb inside like that or would you injure your thumb in doing so if you were to punch someone like that?

I would injure my thumb if I were to punch someone like this.

Question: [REDACTED]: OK, that's not how you would normally, you know...

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 37

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Just keep in mind this is audio...So instead of saying like this, just describe what you are displaying.

Question: [REDACTED]: With fingers parallel to the palm, if I were to tuck in the thumb followed by the four fingers and if I were to apply pressure to either of the knuckles or the fingers during a punch or strike, it would apply pressure to my thumb and it would more than likely strain my thumb during the force. Several of the still images or the videos that we saw were blurry or it showed your thumb was tucked. Do you recall that?

I do recall that the thumb was tucked.

Question: [REDACTED]: OK, do you think that from previous injuries you sustained in the field, maybe it was just muscle memory to prevent you from being injured again, to not deliver a strike that you were initially taught?

Correct, yes. During the summer of 2022, during a third watch incident, I delivered an open-hand strike and ended up straining my wrist.

Question: [REDACTED]: Were you out of the field from that injury and was it documented with the department?

No, I did not discuss the injuries with anyone because I didn't want to be taken out of the field.

Question: [REDACTED]: OK, because you love your job?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: The back of the head is obviously a very hard surface. You would probably likely sprain or break your thumb if you were to deliver a punch in such a manner, is that correct? As we've described with your thumb tucked in like that?

Correct. Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: When you had said that another alternative to the use of force was a baton, would that be more so to pry his arms off from underneath him?

Correct. Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]: You weren't going to strike him with it. Is that accurate?

No.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 38

Question: [REDACTED]: What was his demeanor like after the incident?

He apologized later to my partner when I admonished him. He was open and honest. I told him I went over the incident with him during transport. He was calm even throughout the booking process.

Question: [REDACTED]: Did he need any medical attention?

No, he didn't have any complaints of pain or anything.

Question: [REDACTED]: Good rapport overall at the end?

Yes.

Question: [REDACTED]-29min 05sec: Anything else that you feel you needed to elaborate better on or that you feel you need to say?

No.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Is there anything else you would like to add or you feel would be helpful for this investigation?

Yes, towards the end of the incident, a local from the community thanked us for removing the transients off the sidewalk. That bystander ended up getting into a verbal argument with two people across the street who had asked for my badge number. I had to break up the verbal argument between them and had them go their separate ways.

The interview concluded at 1045 hours.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 39

CONCLUSIONS:

1. FORCE-SUSTAINED

██████████ alleged Officer Inzunza used force.

On January 5, 2025, Officers responded to a disturbance radio call (25010006446) regarding a group of transients using narcotics near Fanuel St and Garnet Avenue. The officers arrived on scene and contacted the subject of the radio call, ██████████. Officer Prewitt attempted to detain ██████████.

San Diego Police Department Procedure 1.04, Use of Force, Section III- Background, dated July 8, 2020, states:

III. BACKGROUND

The San Diego Police Department recognizes and respects the value of human life, having this as its highest priority. It is the policy and practice of the Department to train its officers to perform their duties to the highest standards. Our officers perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair, respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. However, in the performance of their duties, officers may encounter situations where the use of force is reasonable to effect a detention or arrest, to overcome resistance, or to protect themselves or others. This protection of human life recognizes that the innocent victim and uninvolved citizen are the least able to control a dangerous situation and thus must be our highest priority. Our next priority is to the officers protecting others as well as themselves.

The San Diego Police Department is committed to achieving a safe resolution to conflict whenever possible. To this end, the Department trains its officers in tactics, techniques, and strategies to control these types of incidents using time, distance, communications, and other available resources in an effort to de-escalate encounters and gain voluntary compliance. Refer to Department Procedure 1.55 De-escalation for established requirements and guidelines on the use of de-escalation techniques, crisis intervention tactics, and other alternatives to force.

Successful resolution of an encounter requires the cooperation of a subject to provide officers with the time and opportunity to employ these de-escalation techniques. While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this procedure requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 40

Penal Code 834a creates a duty to submit to an arrest by a peace officer. Penal Code 834a states, "If a person has knowledge, or by the exercise of reasonable care, should have knowledge, that he/she is being arrested by a peace officer, it is the duty of such person to refrain from using force or any weapon to resist such arrest."

Penal Code 148(a)(1) makes it illegal to resist, delay, or obstruct an officer's attempt to carry out his or her duties. Penal Code 148(a)(1) states, "Every person who willfully resists, delays, or obstructs any public officer, peace officer, or an emergency medical technician, as defined in Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, in the discharge of attempt to discharge any duty of his or her officer or employment, when no other punishment is prescribed, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed one year, or by both that fine and imprisonment."

Penal Code 69(a) is a wobbler and may be charged as a felony or a misdemeanor, and says: "Every person who attempts, by means of any threat or violence, to deter or prevent an executive officer from performing any duty imposed upon the officer by law, or who knowingly resists, by the use of force or violence, the officer, in the performance of his or her duty, is punishable by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170, or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both such fine and imprisonment."

Penal Code section 835a(b) authorizes an officer to use reasonable force to make a lawful arrest, prevent an escape, or to overcome resistance. Officers are not required to retreat or desist from their efforts by reason of resistance or threatened resistance of the person being arrested. The decision to use deadly force in response to a perceived imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or another person is one of the most critical decisions an officer will ever be called upon to make. Only force that is reasonable to overcome resistance may be used to effect a detention or an arrest, or take a person meeting the requirements of Welfare and Institutions Code section 5150 into protective custody. Additionally, officers shall not use deadly force against a person based on the danger that person poses to themselves, if an objectively reasonable officer would believe the person does not pose an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the peace officer or to another person.

Assembly Bill 392 amends Penal Code sections 196 and 835a. This bill states that an officer is justified in using deadly force upon another person only when the officer reasonably believes, based on the totality of the circumstances, that deadly force is necessary to defend against an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or to another person, or to apprehend a fleeing person for a felony that threatened or resulted in death or serious bodily injury, if the officer reasonably believes that the

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 41

person will cause death or serious bodily injury to another unless the person is immediately apprehended.

AB392 also addresses an officer's decision making, leading up to their use of force, when given the time and opportunity to do so. When feasible, officers shall take reasonable steps in the pre-planning of responses to critical incidents, taking into consideration the need for additional officers, force options and other available resources.

The U.S. Supreme Court in *Graham v. Connor*, 490 U.S. 386 (1989), acknowledged that the "reasonableness" test in analyzing the use of force is "not capable of precise definition or mechanical application." For that reason, in determining whether an officer's use of force is reasonable in a particular case, it is necessary to evaluate the facts and circumstances confronting the officer at the time that force was used. All of the surrounding circumstances will be considered, including whether the subject posed an imminent threat to the safety of the officer or others, the severity of the crime at issue, and whether the suspect actively resisted arrest or attempted to flee.

The evaluation of an officer's use of force will be undertaken from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, not through the 20/20 vision of hindsight. The central inquiry in every use of force case is whether the amount of force used by the officer was objectively reasonable in light of the particular circumstances faced by the officer. When evaluating an officer's use of force, it must be understood that the officer's decision to use force is based on the totality of the circumstances known to or perceived by the officer at the time the force is used.

The Department and the community expect officers to perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair, respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. The expectation that officers will use reasonable force also carries the responsibility for other officers to verbally and/or physically intervene if the force necessary to overcome resistance has been achieved, as required by Department Procedure 1.56 Intervention Duties.

IV. DEFINITIONS

- A. **Active Resistance** – Physically evasive movements to defeat an officer's attempt at control, including bracing, tensing, running away or verbally signaling an intention to avoid or prevent being taken into or retained in custody.
- B. **Assaultive Behavior** - behavior that consists of aggressive physical opposition to being physically controlled and conveys a threat of injury to the officer; or, behavior that consists of a threat of attack conveyed through aggressive physical actions or aggressive physical actions coupled with

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 42

verbal threats. Verbal threats alone do not constitute assaultive behavior. Assaultive behavior can be directed at the officer or others.

San Diego Police Department Procedure 1.04, V, A, (Use of Force), dated July 08, 2020, defines "Force" as:

A. Force

Force, as defined above, may be used to effect an investigative detention or arrest; control a subject who is in lawful custody; prevent an escape; or, protect the officer, the subject, or another person from injury or death. Any time force is used, the officer shall apply a level of force that is reasonable for the situation.

█████ resisted officers, which resulted in Officer Prewitt and Officer Inzunza using force. █████ was later booked into jail for 148 PC- delaying police in the performance of their duty. The complainant, █████ spoke to A/Sgt. Stein and filed a complaint against the officers for their use of force.

In █████ interview, she said she witnessed an unhoused person on the ground. She said there were three officers standing over him. One of the officers was striking the man on the ground. The man on the ground had his knees bent behind him. She said she heard yelling from the man on the ground. She saw at least two to three closed fist strikes on the side of the male. The strikes landed next to the male's stomach toward the waist.

█████ said in his interview that he didn't see or hear any strikes from Officer Inzunza or Officer Prewitt. He stated he heard Officer Inzunza talk about how he had to use a distraction blow. █████ said Officer Inzunza said he used an open-handed strike.

Officer Prewitt said in his interview that █████ had his arms and elbows tucked underneath him. He stated he was behind █████ and he was trying to grab █████ right arm from underneath him. Officer Prewitt said he did not witness Officer Inzunza or █████ strike █████

Officer Inzunza said in his interview that █████ was struggling with █████ and decided to deliver three distractionary blows. Officer Inzunza said he had a previous incident with an open hand strike where he overextended his hand. He said he injured his wrist for a couple of months. Officer Inzunza said he changed his hand technique. He stated he changed the open hand by curling his fingers with a curled thumb. He said he attempted to hit █████ with the palm of his hand in a sideways underhook motion.

Officer Inzunza said he tried to deliver the distractionary blow while █████ hood was worn over his head. Officer Inzunza said he tried to hit █████ on the side of his face. He said due to █████ hood being up, he was unable to deliver accurate strikes. He stated █████ was low to the ground. Officer Inzunza

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 43

stated he was trying to deliver the blow in an upper-cut fashion, but ended up short. Officer Inzunza said he was unable to deliver effective blows in the method he wanted.

██████████ BWC captured the following:

2min 08sec: Officer Inzunza strikes ██████████ in the head area with a left-handed closed fist. ██████████ attempts to handcuff and gain control of ██████████'s left arm.



Left closed handed strike to the head area.



02min 09sec: Officer Inzunza brings back a closed handed right fist and strikes ██████████ in the head area.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 44



Right closed handed strike to the head area.

2min 20sec: Officer Inzunza says, “You stop fucking resisting. You fucking did this to yourself.”

9min 40sec: [REDACTED] complains that the handcuffs are hurting him. Officer Prewitt adjusts [REDACTED] handcuffs.

Officer Inzunza’s BWC captured the following:

2min 26sec: Officer Inzunza strikes [REDACTED] in the head area with his left hand. It’s not clear in the video whether it is an open left-handed strike or a closed left-handed fist strike.



02min 28sec: Officer Inzunza grabs the back of [REDACTED] hoodie with his left hand and strikes [REDACTED] in the head area with a closed right-handed fist four times.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 45



In this incident, Officer Inzunza and Officer Prewitt responded to a radio call regarding a group of transients blocking the sidewalk while using narcotics near Fanuel and Garnet Avenue. Upon arrival, Officer Prewitt attempts to contact the subject of the radio call, [REDACTED]. Officer Prewitt tells [REDACTED] he is detained and to sit down. [REDACTED] refused to sit down.

Officer Prewitt grabbed [REDACTED] left wrist as [REDACTED] pulled away. Officer Prewitt forced [REDACTED] down to the ground. Officer Prewitt attempted to place [REDACTED] hands behind his back while giving [REDACTED] commands to place his hands behind his back. Officer Inzunza assisted Officer Prewitt and attempted to place [REDACTED] hands behind his back.

[REDACTED] was face down on his knees. Officer Prewitt and [REDACTED] had control of both of [REDACTED] arms. Officer Inzunza was positioned near [REDACTED] head area and delivered four closed-handed strikes to the back of [REDACTED] head. [REDACTED] was handcuffed shortly after.

Officer Inzunza's use of force by striking [REDACTED] in the head area with a right closed-handed fist four times was not consistent with SDPD Policy and Procedures 1.04 (PP 1.04) given the fact [REDACTED] was face down on the ground actively resisting. [REDACTED] did not demonstrate any assaultive behavior or pose an imminent threat to any officer at the time Officer Inzunza delivered strikes to the head.

Officer Officer Inzunza failed to evaluate the circumstances confronting him at the time his force was used. Officer Inzunza's use of force was not consistent with PP 1.04 by applying fist strikes to address [REDACTED] level of resistance at that time.

Use of force Expert Sergeant Rhoten's opinion:

It is my opinion that Officer Inzunza's use of a closed fist strike to the back of [REDACTED] head in this moment would be considered force and is not consistent with what is taught in the San Diego

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 46

Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T.) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer Inzunza's actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED**.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 47

2. FORCE- SUSTAINED

██████████ alleged Officer Prewitt used force.

On January 5, 2025, Officers responded to a disturbance radio call (25010006446) regarding a group of transients using narcotics near Fanuel St and Garnet Avenue. The officers arrived on scene and contacted the subject of the radio call, ██████████. Officer Prewitt attempted to detain ██████████.

San Diego Police Department Procedure 1.04, Use of Force, Section III- Background, dated July 8, 2020, states:

III. BACKGROUND

The San Diego Police Department recognizes and respects the value of human life, having this as its highest priority. It is the policy and practice of the Department to train its officers to perform their duties to the highest standards. Our officers perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair, respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. However, in the performance of their duties, officers may encounter situations where the use of force is reasonable to effect a detention or arrest, to overcome resistance, or to protect themselves or others. This protection of human life recognizes that the innocent victim and uninvolved citizen are the least able to control a dangerous situation and thus must be our highest priority. Our next priority is to the officers protecting others as well as themselves.

The San Diego Police Department is committed to achieving a safe resolution to conflict whenever possible. To this end, the Department trains its officers in tactics, techniques, and strategies to control these types of incidents using time, distance, communications, and other available resources in an effort to de-escalate encounters and gain voluntary compliance. Refer to Department Procedure 1.55 De-escalation for established requirements and guidelines on the use of de-escalation techniques, crisis intervention tactics, and other alternatives to force.

Successful resolution of an encounter requires the cooperation of a subject to provide officers with the time and opportunity to employ these de-escalation techniques. While the ultimate objective of every law enforcement encounter is to avoid or minimize injury, nothing in this procedure requires an officer to retreat or be exposed to possible physical injury before applying reasonable force.

Penal Code 834a creates a duty to submit to an arrest by a peace officer. Penal Code 834a states, "If a person has knowledge, or by the exercise of reasonable care, should have knowledge, that he/she is being arrested by a peace officer, it is the duty of such

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 48

person to refrain from using force or any weapon to resist such arrest.”

Penal Code 148(a)(1) makes it illegal to resist, delay, or obstruct an officer's attempt to carry out his or her duties. Penal Code 148(a)(1) states, “Every person who willfully resists, delays, or obstructs any public officer, peace officer, or an emergency medical technician, as defined in Division 2.5 (commencing with Section 1797) of the Health and Safety Code, in the discharge of attempt to discharge any duty of his or her officer or employment, when no other punishment is prescribed, shall be punished by a fine not exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000), or by imprisonment in a county jail not to exceed one year, or by both that fine and imprisonment.”

Penal Code 69(a) is a wobbler and may be charged as a felony or a misdemeanor, and says: “Every person who attempts, by means of any threat or violence, to deter or prevent an executive officer from performing any duty imposed upon the officer by law, or who knowingly resists, by the use of force or violence, the officer, in the performance of his or her duty, is punishable by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), or by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170, or in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both such fine and imprisonment.”

Penal Code section 835a(b) authorizes an officer to use reasonable force to make a lawful arrest, prevent an escape, or to overcome resistance. Officers are not required to retreat or desist from their efforts by reason of resistance or threatened resistance of the person being arrested. The decision to use deadly force in response to a perceived imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or another person is one of the most critical decisions an officer will ever be called upon to make. Only force that is reasonable to overcome resistance may be used to effect a detention or an arrest, or take a person meeting the requirements of Welfare and Institutions Code section 5150 into protective custody. Additionally, officers shall not use deadly force against a person based on the danger that person poses to themselves, if an objectively reasonable officer would believe the person does not pose an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the peace officer or to another person.

Assembly Bill 392 amends Penal Code sections 196 and 835a. This bill states that an officer is justified in using deadly force upon another person only when the officer reasonably believes, based on the totality of the circumstances, that deadly force is necessary to defend against an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or to another person, or to apprehend a fleeing person for a felony that threatened or resulted in death or serious bodily injury, if the officer reasonably believes that the person will cause death or serious bodily injury to another unless the person is immediately apprehended.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 49

AB392 also addresses an officer's decision making, leading up to their use of force, when given the time and opportunity to do so. When feasible, officers shall take reasonable steps in the pre-planning of responses to critical incidents, taking into consideration the need for additional officers, force options and other available resources.

The U.S. Supreme Court in *Graham v. Connor*, 490 U.S. 386 (1989), acknowledged that the "reasonableness" test in analyzing the use of force is "not capable of precise definition or mechanical application." For that reason, in determining whether an officer's use of force is reasonable in a particular case, it is necessary to evaluate the facts and circumstances confronting the officer at the time that force was used. All of the surrounding circumstances will be considered, including whether the subject posed an imminent threat to the safety of the officer or others, the severity of the crime at issue, and whether the suspect actively resisted arrest or attempted to flee.

The evaluation of an officer's use of force will be undertaken from the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, not through the 20/20 vision of hindsight. The central inquiry in every use of force case is whether the amount of force used by the officer was objectively reasonable in light of the particular circumstances faced by the officer. When evaluating an officer's use of force, it must be understood that the officer's decision to use force is based on the totality of the circumstances known to or perceived by the officer at the time the force is used.

The Department and the community expect officers to perform their duties with integrity, and make decisions that are fair, respectful, lawful, and based on good judgment. The expectation that officers will use reasonable force also carries the responsibility for other officers to verbally and/or physically intervene if the force necessary to overcome resistance has been achieved, as required by Department Procedure 1.56 Intervention Duties.

IV. DEFINITIONS

- C. **Active Resistance** – Physically evasive movements to defeat an officer's attempt at control, including bracing, tensing, running away or verbally signaling an intention to avoid or prevent being taken into or retained in custody.
- D. **Assaultive Behavior** - behavior that consists of aggressive physical opposition to being physically controlled and conveys a threat of injury to the officer; or, behavior that consists of a threat of attack conveyed through aggressive physical actions or aggressive physical actions coupled with verbal threats. Verbal threats alone do not constitute assaultive behavior. Assaultive behavior can be directed at the officer or others.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 50

San Diego Police Department Procedure 1.04, V, A, (Use of Force), dated July 08, 2020, defines "Force" as:

B. Force

Force, as defined above, may be used to effect an investigative detention or arrest; control a subject who is in lawful custody; prevent an escape; or, protect the officer, the subject, or another person from injury or death. Any time force is used, the officer shall apply a level of force that is reasonable for the situation.

█████ resisted officers, which resulted in Officer Prewitt and Officer Inzunza using force. █████ was booked into jail for 148 PC- delaying police in the performance of their duty. The complainant █████ spoke to A/Sgt. Stein and filed a complaint against the officers for their use of force.

In █████ interview, she said she witnessed an unhoused person on the ground. She said there were three officers standing over him. One of the officers was striking the man on the ground. The man on the ground had his knees bent behind him. She said she heard yelling from the man on the ground. She saw at least two to three closed fist strikes on the side of the male. The strikes landed next to the male's stomach toward the waist.

█████ said in his interview that he didn't see or hear any strikes from Officer Prewitt.

Officer Inzunza said in his interview that he did not witness Officer Prewitt strike █████

Officer Prewitt said in his interview that █████ had his arms and elbows tucked underneath him. He stated he was behind █████ and he was trying to grab █████ right arm from underneath him. Officer Prewitt used his right hand and applied a distractionary blow to the right side of █████ face. Officer Prewitt said the blow was effective, and he was able to get █████ right arm from underneath him and eventually place him in handcuffs.

Officer Prewitt was asked the following:

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: My question to you is, in your report, you wrote that it was an open-handed strike, but on video, it shows that it was a closed-handed strike. So, please explain the inconsistency?

"I didn't hit him with my fist um I had hit him with majority of my palm, so I opened my hand like this with my nails tucked and my thumb to the side my thumbs to the side and the picture as well and that's how I was able to hit him on the side of the face but..."

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: By you writing in the report that it was an open-handed strike, is that accurate?

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 51

“No, no, I guess not from the from the palm strike, it was open palm. But I guess not a open hand.”

Officer Prewitt's BWC captured the following:

03min 11sec: Officer Prewitt holds onto [REDACTED] left wrist with both hands and pulls it toward him. It appears Officer Prewitt attempts to pull [REDACTED] onto his stomach. [REDACTED] actively resists officers by pulling his arms away from officers.

03mi 17sec: Officer Prewitt attempts to control [REDACTED] right arm as [REDACTED] is facing down on his hands, attempting to get up. Officer Prewitt has his left knee on [REDACTED] back. Officer Prewitt has his left hand on the back of [REDACTED] sweatshirt. Officer Prewitt closes his right hand into a closed fist and Strikes [REDACTED] in the head area as [REDACTED] actively resists officers.



Officer Prewitt's closed fist as he prepares to strike [REDACTED] Officer Prewitt pulls his fist back out of frame.



Officer Prewitt's closed fist as it moves forward striking [REDACTED] in the head region.

HEAD

In this incident, Officer Inzunza and Officer Prewitt responded to a radio call regarding a group of transients blocking the sidewalk while using narcotics near Fanuel and Garnet Avenue. Upon arrival, Officer Prewitt attempted to contact the subject of the radio call, [REDACTED]. Officer Prewitt told [REDACTED] he was detained and to sit down. [REDACTED] refused to sit down.

Officer Prewitt grabbed [REDACTED] left wrist as [REDACTED] pulled away. Officer Prewitt forced [REDACTED] down to the Ground. Officer Prewitt attempted to place [REDACTED] hands behind his back while giving [REDACTED] commands to place his hands behind his back. Officer Inzunza assisted Officer Prewitt and attempted to place [REDACTED] hands behind his back. While [REDACTED] was face down, Officer Prewitt delivered a right palm heel strike to the right side of [REDACTED] face. [REDACTED] was face down on his knees. [REDACTED] was handcuffed shortly after.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs

Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 52

Officer Prewitt's use of force by striking [REDACTED] in the head area with a right palm heel strike was not consistent with SDPD Policy and Procedures 1.04 (PP 1.04) given the fact [REDACTED] was face down on the ground actively resisting. [REDACTED] did not demonstrate any assaultive behavior or pose an imminent threat to any officer at the time Officer Prewitt delivered the strike to the head. Officer Prewitt failed to evaluate the circumstances confronting him at the time his force was used. Officer Prewitt's use of force was not consistent with PP 1.04 in response to [REDACTED] level of resistance at that time.

Use of force Expert Sergeant Rhoten's opinion:

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt did not punch [REDACTED] with a closed fist. I believe Officer Prewitt intended to hit [REDACTED] with an open hand, distraction strike technique but failed to realize that he was inadvertently hitting [REDACTED] with a palm heel strike.

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt's use of a closed fist strike to [REDACTED] face in this moment would be considered force and is not consistent with what is taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer Prewitt's actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED**.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 54

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: You mentioned this was a form of de-escalation. Can you elaborate a little bit more on why it is de-escalation?

Answer: Because he's been in the system. He's been probably...can you re-ask your question? I'm sorry.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: You said by using profanity towards [REDACTED] It's a form of de-escalation.

Answer: Yes, trying to get him to respond to what I was commanding him to do. That was what I was doing. I believe that he was going to respond better if I did that.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: He would respond better if you use profanity. Is that correct?

Answer: Yes.

A review of Officer Prewitt's BWC video revealed at **02 min 49sec**, Officer Prewitt tells [REDACTED] he is being detained. Officer Prewitt says, "You are being detained right now because people were doing narcotics here, that is why we are here in the fucking first place." At **02min 57sec**, [REDACTED] tells Officer Prewitt he was not doing anything. Officer Prewitt says, "Take a fucken seat. You are being detained now."

The evidence in this investigation shows Officer Demarco Prewitt arrived on scene on a disturbance call and spoke with [REDACTED] upon arrival. Officer Prewitt had probable cause to detain [REDACTED] based on the radio call and [REDACTED] being in violation of SDMC 54.0110 encroachment. Officer Prewitt used coarse and profane language when he ordered [REDACTED] to, "Take a fucking seat."

Even though Officer Prewitt was attempting to establish control of the detention, it was not considered a violent or dangerous situation at that point. Officer Prewitt was not tactful in the performance of his duties and took part in coarse and profane language when dealing with [REDACTED].

Therefore, this allegation is **SUSTAINED**.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 53

OTHER FINDINGS:

1. COURTESY: SUSTAINED

Officer Prewitt violated Department Policy 9.20 when he was discourteous towards [REDACTED].

On January 5, 2025, Officers responded to a disturbance radio call (25010006446) regarding a group of transients using narcotics near Fanuel St and Garnet Avenue. The officers arrived on scene and contacted the subject of the radio call, [REDACTED]. Officer Prewitt attempted to detain [REDACTED].

San Diego Police Department Policy 9.20, COURTESY POLICY (Revised 03/27/15) states: Members shall be courteous to all persons. Members shall be tactful in the performance of their duties, shall control their tempers, exercise the utmost patience and discretion, and shall not engage in argumentative discussion even in the face of extreme provocation. Except when necessary to establish control during a violent or dangerous situation, no member shall use coarse, profane or violent language. Members shall not use insolent language or gestures in the performance of his or her duties. Members shall not make derogatory comments about or express any prejudice concerning race, religion, politics, national origin, gender (to include gender identity and gender expression), sexual orientation, or similar personal characteristics.

As described in allegation number one, [REDACTED] resisted officers, which resulted in Officer Prewitt and Officer Inzunza using force. [REDACTED] was booked into jail for 148 PC- delaying police in the performance of their duty. The complainant [REDACTED], spoke to A/Sgt. Stein and filed a complaint against the officers for their use of force.

In Officer Demarco Prewitt's interview, he was asked the following:

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez- 10min 58sec: When you spoke to [REDACTED] you said... This is per your BWC, "You are being detained right now because people were doing narcotics here. That is why we are here in the fucking first place." And then later you said, "Take a fucking seat. You are being detained." Do you recall saying that?

Answer: Yes.

Question: D/Sgt. Sanchez: Why did you use profanity when you spoke to [REDACTED]

Answer: Because it was a form of de-escalation. In my experience, he's in the system and he should respond to that language. Especially dealing with us. Um, but like I said, he usually isn't like that, and I usually don't have to do that with him.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025


CONFIDENTIAL – DO NOT DUPLICATE

Investigator's Report
Complaint: 2025-0012
Page 55

DOCUMENTATION:

The results of this investigation are based on the following items:

1. [REDACTED] BWC video.
2. Officer Prewitt BWC video.
3. Officer Inzunza BWC video.
4. A/Sgt. Stein BWC video.
5. [REDACTED] arrest report.
6. Call for service.
7. Audio interviews.
8. Booking photograph.
9. Officer Prewitt and [REDACTED] ARJIS-9.
10. Still photos presented to Officer Prewitt and Officer Inzunza.
11. Use of Force review



CONFIDENTIAL: THIS REPORT IS FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND/OR CITY ATTORNEY.

Reporting Officer: Jesus Sanchez, Sergeant ID: 5560 Division Internal Affairs
Approved by: Yesenia Quintos, Lieutenant Date of Report: May 15, 2025



THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO

MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 1, 2025

TO: Jesus Sanchez, Detective Sergeant, SDPD Internal Affairs

FROM: Michael Rhoten, Sergeant, SDPD In-Service Training Division

SUBJECT: Use of Force Review (Arrest of [REDACTED] Event #25010006446)

On April 2, 2025, Internal Affairs Detective Sergeant J. Sanchez #5560 requested that I review the use of force by Officers [REDACTED], D. Prewitt #1776 and B. Inzunza #1515 during the arrest of [REDACTED] (SDPD Event #25010006446). I reviewed the associated reports, Internal Affairs interviews, and Body Worn Camera (BWC) videos provided of this event.

Background:

On 01-05-2025, approximately 1440 hours, Officers Prewitt and Inzunza responded to a narcotics complaint involving several males at 4400 block of Fanuel St. in the city of San Diego. Upon arrival, both officers observed 3 males sitting on the sidewalk near items that were obstructing the walkway. The officers determined that the males should be detained for violation 54.0110 SDMC (Encroachment). One of the males, later identified by California ID as [REDACTED] began to walk away from the officers. Officer Prewitt told [REDACTED] to stop walking away and that he was being detained.

Officer Prewitt wrote in his report:

On 01-05-25 at 1442 hours Officer Inzunza #1515 and I were in full police uniforms while operating a marked police vehicle. We responded to a radio call to investigate possible narcotics at 4400 Fanuel.

When we arrived on the scene, I saw three males sitting in a circle at 4400 Fanuel. One male got up and left the scene before I could get out of the car. [REDACTED], who was identified with a prior booking photo, also tried to get up and leave. I told [REDACTED] he could not leave and that he was being detained.

Officer Inzunza wrote in his report:

On 1/5/2025, at approximately 1442 hours, Officer Prewitt #1776 and I were in full police uniform, driving a marked patrol vehicle working Northern Division. We were dispatched to a radio call regarding a group of 8 transients camped out and smoking narcotics at the intersection of Fanuel St and Garnet Ave., San Diego, CA 92109.

Upon arriving, Officer Prewitt #1776 and I located [REDACTED] (later positively identified via his California Drivers Licence) on the west curb line of 4400 Fanuel St. [REDACTED] was standing next to a shopping cart full of miscellaneous personal belongings, partially blocking the sidewalk. The shopping cart was placed in position along the sidewalk, likely to obstruct pedestrian traffic as they would likely have to walk around the shopping cart. [REDACTED] was detained for 54.0110 SDMC.

Officer Prewitt exited the marked patrol vehicle, approached, and contacted [REDACTED]. As [REDACTED] attempted to walk away, Officer Prewitt #1776 informed [REDACTED] that he was detained and ordered him not to leave and sit down.

Use of Force Investigation:

Officer Prewitt tells [REDACTED] to put his hands behind his back as he walks up to him. Officer Prewitt grabs for [REDACTED] left hand at the wrist. [REDACTED] pulls his hand away saying, "I'm not doing anything." [REDACTED] blades his stance with his left side towards Officer Prewitt. Officer Prewitt moves behind [REDACTED] [REDACTED] faces away from Officer Prewitt. Officer Prewitt grabs for [REDACTED] left wrist and pushes [REDACTED] at the back of his left shoulder with his right hand. [REDACTED] moves forward, bends forward at the hip, then falls to his knees.

[REDACTED] lays on his right side with his hands out in front of his body, facing Officer Prewitt who is kneeling facing him. Officer Prewitt grabs [REDACTED] left wrist and begins to pull him over onto his stomach. Officer Inzunza pushes [REDACTED] back attempting to get him onto his stomach. The officers get [REDACTED] to roll over onto his hands and knees. Officer Prewitt lets go of [REDACTED]. The officers are giving [REDACTED] commands to get on the ground and on his stomach during these events.

Officer Prewitt moves to and grabs [REDACTED] right wrist with his right hand and pushes the back of [REDACTED] neck down with his left hand. Officer Inzunza grabs [REDACTED] left wrist with his right hand then quickly transitions his grip over to his left hand. Officer Inzunza pushes [REDACTED] at the middle of his back with his right hand. Officer Prewitt lets go of [REDACTED] with his right hand. Officer Inzunza stops pushing [REDACTED] with his right hand and makes a fist. An officer yells, "Hey motherfucker!"

Officer Prewitt strikes [REDACTED] on the right side of the head/face area with a closed right fist then re-grabs [REDACTED] right wrist with his right hand. Officer Inzunza grabs [REDACTED] left wrist with his left hand and grabs [REDACTED] sweater hood with his right hand. Officer Inzunza right hand loses grip and he regrips [REDACTED] left elbow with his right hand.

Officer Prewitt wrote in his report:

█████ became upset and believed that he did not do anything. He stated, "I am not doing anything, I am just going to throw my trash away." I informed █████ that he was being detained because he was the subject of our radio call, and they were encroaching with all of their belongings on the sidewalk. I told █████ to sit back down, but he refused and became angry. █████ disregarded my command to sit down and began to walk away from us. █████ took several steps to prevent me from grabbing onto his hands. Because of █████ anger, I asked █████ to put his hands behind his back.

I grabbed █████ left wrist with my left hand and █████ instantly pulled away aggressively. █████ balled his left hand and snatched it downwards and away from his torso. I then grabbed █████ with both of my hands on his left shoulder and we fell to the ground. The movement of me grabbing onto his shoulder caused us to fall to the ground. I used both of my hands to grab █████ right wrist and attempted to place it behind his back. █████ intentionally locked both of his hands into his chest with his knees pulled into his hands while he was facing the ground. I gave him several verbal commands to place his hands behind his back. █████ refused the commands and I was unable to gain control of his hands for about 2 minutes. I used my open right hand to use a distractionary blow to the right side of █████ face. I used both of my hands to grab █████ right wrist and elbow and placed his hand behind his back until he was safely placed in handcuffs.

Officer Prewitt stated in his internal affairs interview:

Officer Prewitt stated that he did not recall if he had pushed █████ in the back which led to him falling to the ground. He described █████ behavior during the event as active resistance. Officer Prewitt described his strike to █████ face as a "open palm but I guess not an open hand." Officer Prewitt's reason for striking █████ was to force him to bring his hands out from underneath him since they were in "the heat of combat."

Officer Inzunza wrote in his report:

As Officer Prewitt reached for █████ left hand to place him into handcuffs, █████ immediately pulled his left hand away aggressively from Officer Prewitt. Refer to Officer Prewitt's Officer Report for further regarding his initial contact with █████

Upon exiting the car, Officer Prewitt reached for █████ left arm and shoulder. I saw █████ immediately tense up and pull his arms in front of him. █████ fell to the ground and immediately curled up into a ball, continuing to place his hands in front of him.

I grabbed █████ left arm and used my physical strength to place his left arm behind his back while applying my body weight onto the upper left portion of █████ back. I felt █████ continue to tense up and actively pull his hands in front of him as I gave him multiple orders to place his hands behind his back.

Officer Inzunza stated in his Internal Affairs interview:

Officer Inzunza described [REDACTED] behavior as active resistance during the event. He said that [REDACTED] was bracing, tensing up, and tucking his knees underneath his body to prevent officers from controlling him.

[REDACTED] wrote in his report:

As I was arriving on scene, Officer's Prewitt #1776 and Inzunza #1515 called for a cover now and that they were fighting with one subject. I saw both officers attempting to detain a transient male (later identified as [REDACTED] via a prior booking photo). The male was actively resisting officers and screaming. [REDACTED] was on his knees and attempting to stand up. I ran up and grabbed [REDACTED] left arm. [REDACTED] was attempting to tuck both of his arms under his body.

Officers Prewitt, [REDACTED] and Inzunza BWCs' show the following:

(14:54:30z - 14:55:00z): Officer Prewitt tells [REDACTED] that he is being detained and to sit down. [REDACTED] refuses Officer Prewitt's commands. Officer Prewitt says, "Take a fucking seat you're being detained!" [REDACTED] says, "No." Officer Prewitt replies, "Ok put your hands behind your back."

(14:55:00z - 14:55:08z): Officer Prewitt grabs for [REDACTED] left wrist. [REDACTED] pulls away and backs up saying, "I'm not doing anything." Officer Prewitt pushes [REDACTED] left shoulder from behind. [REDACTED] falls to the ground.

(14:55:08z - 14:55:015z): [REDACTED] falls to his right side and places his hands in front of his face, palms open and facing out. Officer Prewitt grabs [REDACTED] left wrist and pulls him over, stomach facing down, as Officer Inzunza pushes [REDACTED] from the back. [REDACTED] is now face down on his hand and knees. Officer Prewitt lets go and moves around [REDACTED] head to his right side.

(14:55:15z - 14:55:17z): Officer Prewitt grabs [REDACTED] right wrist with his right hand and the back of his neck with the left hand. Officer Inzunza grabs [REDACTED] left wrist with his left hand and pushes his back with his right hand. Officer Prewitt lets go with his right hand. One of the officers' yells, "Hey mother fucker!"

(14:55:17z - 14:55:23z): Officer Prewitt strikes [REDACTED] with a right closed fist to the right side of his face then re-grips [REDACTED] right wrist. Officer Inzunza grabs [REDACTED] left wrist with his left hand and his left elbow with his right hand. Officer Inzunza lets go of the elbow and uses his right hand to grab [REDACTED] sweater hood and pushes [REDACTED] down. Officer Inzunza loses his grip with his right hand then re-grips [REDACTED] left elbow with his right hand.

Use of Force Opinion:

Police Officers are taught in the San Diego Regional Public Safety Training Institute under the guidelines of California Police Officer Standards and Training (POST) and Advanced Officer Training to respond to resistance with objectively reasonable force based on the totality of the circumstances. Police officers are to use objectively reasonable force to gain and maintain control of situations. Force used by officers must be judged under the totality of the circumstance, through the perspective of a reasonable officer on the scene, at the time the force is used, and without 20/20 hindsight. The reasonable officer standard evaluates whether another officer facing like, or similar circumstances would act in the same way or use similar judgement.

The objective reasonableness for the use of force must be fact specific, based on the totality of the circumstances confronting the officer at the time force is used. The determination of reasonableness must also allow that when a person resists an officer's attempts to control, they set off a chain of events that is chaotic, intense, uncertain, and rapidly evolving. This forces an officer to make split second decisions about the amount of force that is necessary for a particular situation. A police officer's reactions and decisions are made based on what they perceive, the options available to them and the time to act on those options. An officer is not expected to be superhuman and work beyond those factors.

█████ behavior during these moments in the event would be considered active resistance. █████ pulls away from the officers' attempts to physically control him. He continues his active resistance when he is on the ground by bracing and tensing his body, preventing the officers from placing his hands behind his back.

Officers are taught during San Diego Regional Police Academy (California Police Officer Standards and Training) and during Advanced Officer Training to respond to active resistance with reasonable force based on the totality of the circumstances. Some reasonable force options for active resistance would be verbal commands, touch, control holds, pressure point control tactics, take down techniques, OC (pepper spray), and distraction techniques.

Officer Prewitt stated that he hit █████ with an open hand strike to the face as a distraction technique in his report. However, in his Internal Affairs interview he states that used this "open palm" not open hand technique to protect his hand and wrist. The change that he made was to clench his hand into a fist and attempt to hit █████ with the exposed palm of his hand. This would be considered a palm heel strike, not an open hand strike or distraction technique.

A closed fist strike has significantly more damage potential than that of an open hand strike. This is due to a fist having a smaller surface area and the hardening effect of closing all fingers into a tight area. An open hand has a wider surface area, the palm is softer when the hand is open, and the finger will flex when impacted.

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt did not punch █████ with a closed fist. I believe Officer Prewitt intended to hit █████ with an open hand, distraction strike technique but failed to realize that he was inadvertently hitting █████ with a palm heel strike.

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt's use of a closed fist strike to [REDACTED] face in this moment would be considered force and is consistent with what is not taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer Prewitt's actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

Use of Force Investigation continued:

[REDACTED] arrives and pushes on [REDACTED] back with both of his hands. He then grabs [REDACTED] left wrist with his left hand and pulls it back behind his back. Officer Inzunza has both of his hands on [REDACTED] left arm holding it behind his back. Officer Prewitt is holding [REDACTED] right arm behind his back. Officer Inzunza is helping [REDACTED] hold [REDACTED] left arm behind his back as he places his knee on [REDACTED] left shoulder/back area. [REDACTED] takes his handcuffs out.

Officer Inzunza removes his left knee from [REDACTED] back and let's go of his arm with his left hand. Officer Inzunza turns his torso towards [REDACTED] head and strikes [REDACTED] in the head with a close left hand. [REDACTED] lifts his head and torso up and begins to pull his hands back to his mid-section. Officer Inzunza moves his body to the top of [REDACTED] head. He pushes [REDACTED] head down with his left hand and strikes [REDACTED] four times with a closed fist to the top of his head. [REDACTED] yells out, "Oh my god stop hurting me!" [REDACTED] and Prewitt pull [REDACTED] hands behind his back. Officer Inzunza places his left knee on [REDACTED] back. Officer Prewitt places his right knee on [REDACTED] back. [REDACTED] places [REDACTED] in handcuffs.

[REDACTED] falls onto his right side. Officer Inzunza rolls [REDACTED] over onto his left side.

Officers Prewitt, [REDACTED] and Inzunza BWCs' show the following:

(14:55:23z - 14:55:29z): [REDACTED] arrives and pushes [REDACTED] down with both hands on his back. [REDACTED] grabs [REDACTED] left wrist with his left hand and pulls it behind his back with Officer Inzunza's help. [REDACTED] is kneeling on both of his knees and moving from side to side. Officer Inzunza places his left knee on [REDACTED] back as he is holding his left arm back. [REDACTED] takes out his handcuffs with his right hand.

(14:55:29z - 14:55:32z): Officer Inzunza removes his knee. Officers Prewitt and [REDACTED] pull [REDACTED] arms behind his back. [REDACTED] extends his head and torso up. Officer Inzunza lets go of [REDACTED] and strikes [REDACTED] on the left side of his head with his left closed fist.

(14:55:32z - 14:55:45z): [REDACTED] pulls his hands away from the officer and towards his mid-section. Officer Inzunza moves to the top of [REDACTED] head and pushes it down with his left hand. Officer Inzunza strikes [REDACTED] on the back of the head with 4 closed fist strikes. He then places his left knee on the on the middle portion of [REDACTED] back. Officer Prewitt places his right knee on [REDACTED] back. [REDACTED] places [REDACTED] in handcuffs.

Officer Inzunza rolls [REDACTED] over from laying on his right side to his left side.

wrote in his report:

I had to use my full strength to pull [REDACTED] left hand behind his back. I placed one handcuff on [REDACTED] left wrist. I then grabbed [REDACTED] right wrist. Officer Prewitt had to use two hands to hold [REDACTED] right arm still. I placed the other cuff onto [REDACTED] right wrist. The handcuffs were later adjusted to fit properly per policy.

stated in his Internal Affairs interview:

[REDACTED] stated that [REDACTED] behavior was active resistance. [REDACTED] stated that he heard Officer Inzunza say he was trying to strike [REDACTED] with an open hand.

Officer Inzunza wrote in his report:

Another Officer approached and assisted me in continuing to attempt to put his left hand behind his back. I momentarily let go and resorted to using a distractionary blow to gain compliance. I used an open right-hand palm strike to strike the left side of [REDACTED] face approximately 3 times while continuing to tell him to give up. The strikes were effective as [REDACTED] relieved some tension and allowed us to place his hands behind his back and place handcuffs on him.

Officer Inzunza stated in his Internal Affairs interview:

Officer Inzunza stated that he used the strikes to get [REDACTED] to move his hands out from underneath him. He stated that [REDACTED] was still actively resisting the officers' attempts to control him. Officer Inzunza stated that he had used an open hand strike in the past and he had hurt himself. He stated, "I changed the technique to curled hand, curled thumb inside with curled fingers." He said that he tried to hit the side of [REDACTED] face, but his angle did not allow him to properly hit that area.

Use of Force opinion:

[REDACTED] behavior during these moments in the event would be considered active resistance. [REDACTED] pulls away from the officers' attempts to physically control him. He continues his active resistance when he is on the ground by bracing and tensing his body, preventing the officers from placing his hands behind his back and by pulling his hand towards his mid-section.

It is my opinion that the use of a knee to the back of [REDACTED] to hold him down is not something is taught in the San Diego Regional Academy or in Advanced Officer Training. However, the officer did not have their knees on [REDACTED] back for a prolonged time and did not strike him in the back with their knees. I would consider this a training issue only because there are better and safer techniques that could be used in this type of situation.

It is my opinion that Officer Inzunza's use of a closed fist strikes to the back [REDACTED] head in this moment would be considered force and is not consistent with what is taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer

Inzunza's actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

References:

(SDPD Use of Force Procedure 1.04)

Passive Resistance - behavior that consists of a refusal to comply with verbal commands and does not convey a threat of physical resistance to the officer or another person.

Assaultive Behavior - behavior that consists of aggressive physical opposition to being physically controlled and conveys a threat of injury to the officer; or, behavior that consists of a threat of attack conveyed through aggressive physical actions or aggressive physical actions coupled with verbal threats. Verbal threats alone do not constitute assaultive behavior. Assaultive behavior can be directed at the officer or others.

Defending Force - the force needed to stop assaultive behavior against an officer or another person. This level of force generally involves impact strikes by the officer. Impact strikes can be delivered either by personal body weapons (e.g., hands, feet, knees, etc.) or impact weapons (e.g., PR-24, OPN, Baton, Flashlight). Due to the potential for serious injury, intentional strikes with an impact weapon are prohibited from being directed at the head, face or throat of the subject unless the subject's actions and behavior pose an imminent threat of death or serious bodily injury to the officer or others.

Distraction Techniques - acts used to divert or redirect a subject's focus away from resistive behavior in order to assist the officer in gaining control of the individual. Distraction techniques may include an open-handed strike and/or knee strikes that specifically target the lower body, such as the buttock or thigh area. These are controlled strikes, using a lower level of force. These lower level types of strikes are not intended, nor likely to cause serious injury.

Regional Academy Lesson Plan 1

(P.O.S.T. Arrest and Control Lesson Plan 1)

(Reasonable Officer Standard, Graham V Conner, U.S. 386 (1989))

The Court noted that the following facts should also be considered, but not limited to, when gauging reasonableness:

- The severity of the crime
- The nature and extent of the threat posed by the subject
- The degree to which the subject resists arrest or detention
- Any attempts by the subject to evade arrest by flight

Rules and Principles of Defense are utilized to solve various law enforcement problems use a principle/outcome based approach.

The rules are universal and apply to virtually every incident

Rule #1: The desire to win is paramount

Rule #2: Work to the police solution

Rule #3: Put resistant suspects to the ground

(P.O.S.T LD 33-3 Arrest and Control)

A takedown technique is a method for taking the subject to the ground to control the subject and the situation.

The primary objective of a takedown technique is to gain control of a subject.

The proper use of a takedown technique may help an peace officer:

- defuse a situation
- achieve greater control over a subject
- distract a subject
- control a situation
- reduce the ability of the suspect to effectively attack, strike, or escape

(P.O.S.T LD 33) Principles of defense

The principles are only used when needed in the order needed:

1. Target seek constantly:
“Target Seek:” Big to small... Small sets up big... Particularly vulnerable body parts
2. Closest reasonable weapon to closest appropriate target (CWCT)
Identification and use of personal body weapons, including identification and use of unconventional weapons.
3. Control the head
4. Body part to body mass
5. Move in angles or circles
6. Move to vacuum

LD33 Lesson Plan 9 (ground control):

- a. Side Control
 - i. Control and monitor suspect’s arms/wrists by using:
 1. Body weight shifting
 2. Arm swim techniques
 3. Scarf hold
 4. Reverse seatbelt hold
 - ii. The officer should keep their knees on suspect’s hips for control or away for body weight pressure.
 - iii. Move to handcuff position
 1. Roll suspect away and onto side.
 2. Control arms and coordinate with other officers to roll suspect onto stomach.

3. Apply handcuffs.
- iv. Disengagement to standing:
 1. Post off suspect's body and keep weapon retention in mind
 2. Move to a position of advantage
 3. Transition to a "police solution" (alternate force option)
- b. Back Mount
 - i. Officer should keep their hip pressure down to keep suspect flat.
 - ii. The officer's knees outside suspect's hips or feet on suspect's inner thigh (hooks).
 - iii. To control and hold the officer arms should be in a seatbelt position around the suspect's torso or arm of the suspect.
 - iv. Coordinate with other officers to place suspect in handcuffs.
 1. Other officers should control legs
 2. Move to arm control or head control
 - v. Disengagement to standing:
 1. Post off suspect's body and keep weapon retention in mind
 2. Move to a position of advantage
 3. Transition to a "police solution" (alternate force option)

2. Considerations

a. Monitor and Patience:

- i. Officers should place an emphasis on establishing a dominant position quickly during ground encounters.
- ii. Officers should then transition to mode of monitoring the suspect's arms, body, and hips.
- iii. Officers must maintain emotional control to have the patience to wait for the opportunity to place the suspect into handcuffs or tactically disengage.

Summary:

It is my opinion that Officer Inzunza's use of a closed fist strikes to the back [REDACTED] head in this moment would be considered force and is not consistent with what is taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer Inzunza's actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt did not punch [REDACTED] with a closed fist. I believe Officer Prewitt intended to hit [REDACTED] with an open hand, distraction strike technique but failed to realize that he was inadvertently hitting [REDACTED] with a palm heel strike.

It is my opinion that Officer Prewitt's use of a closed fist strike to [REDACTED] face in this moment would be considered force and is not consistent with what is taught in the San Diego Regional Police Academy (P.O.S.T) or what is taught in Advanced Officer Training. Officer Prewitt's

actions are not consistent with what is outlined in the San Diego Police Use of Force Policy and Procedure.

Reviewed: _____

Approved: _____



San Diego Police Dept Arrest/Juvenile Contact Report

Case No. **25000720**

CAD Event No.: **E25010006446**

Case Disposition: **Arrest**

Primary Victim: **State of California**

Report No. **25000720.1**

1

Page 1 of 4

ARREST REPORT

JUVENILE CONTACT REPORT

WARRANT: LOCAL OUT

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Primary Charge: 148 (A)(1) - PC - OBSTRUCT/RESIST PEACE OFCR/EMER MED TECH (M)			
Special Studies:		Related Cases:	
Location, City, State, ZIP: 4400 Fanuel St, SAN DIEGO, CA 92109		Occurred On: 01/05/2025 14:35:03 (Sunday)	
Jurisdiction: Pacific Beach - San Diego	Beat: 122	Call Source: Unknown	(and Between):
Means:	Motives:		

VICTIM/S


IBR/UCR OFFENSE/S

Offense Description: 148 (A)(1) - PC - OBSTRUCT/RESIST PEACE OFCR/EMER MED TECH (M)	Level: M	Against:	Completed? Yes	Counts:	Using:
Location Type: 13 - Highway/Road/Alley	Hate/Bias: 88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)		Domestic Violence: No		
Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:	
Weapons/Force:	Tools:	Targets:			

Offense Description: 54.0110 - SDMC - UNAUTHORIZED ENCROACHMENTS PROHIBITED (M)	Level: M	Against:	Completed? Yes	Counts:	Using:
Location Type: 13 - Highway/Road/Alley	Hate/Bias: 88 - None (no bias) (mutually exclusive)		Domestic Violence: No		
Criminal Activity:	Type Security:	Gang Related:	Entry:	Point of Entry:	
Weapons/Force:	Tools:	Targets:			

ARRESTEE/S

Arrestee #1



SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS, ODDITIES:

Attire:	Suspect Actions:
---------	------------------

Reporting Officer SD1515 - INZUNZA, BRANDON	Division / Organization Northern Patrol (Northern)	Reviewed By SD7186 - STEIN, KEVIN
Report Date 1/5/2025 4:27:18 PM	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date 01/05/2025 23:30:13



San Diego Police Dept Arrest/Juvenile Contact Report

Case No. **25000720**

CAD Event No.: **E25010006446**

Case Disposition: **Arrest**

Primary Victim: **State of California**

Report No. **25000720.1**

2

Page 2 of 4

ARREST INFORMATION				
Arrest Type: O - Probable Cause Arrest - New Case	LE Disposition: 3 - Misdemeanor (Adult Only)	JUS 750 Type: 2 - Booked	Citation No.:	Booking No.: 92168
Arrested For:		Level:	Completed:	Counts:
148 (A)(1) - PC - OBSTRUCT/RESIST PEACE OFCR/EMER MED TECH (M)		M	Yes	
54.0110 - SDMC - UNAUTHORIZED ENCROACHMENTS PROHIBITED (M)		M	Yes	
Arrested By: SD1515 - INZUNZA, BRANDON	Arrest Date and Time: 01/05/2025 15:22:37	Arrest Location, City, State, ZIP: 4400 Fanuel St, San Diego, CA 92109		Beat: 122
Arrest Assisted By:		Transported By: SD1776 - PREWITT, DEMARCO		
Miranda Read: Yes	Admonished By: SD1515 - INZUNZA, BRANDON	Miranda Response: Yes to Q1 and Q2	Jail Billing Code: SDPD	Booked Location: San Diego Central Jail
Armed With: 01 - Unarmed		Use of Force to effect Arrest:		

JUVENILES			
Adult Present:	Person Notified:	Juvenile Disposition:	Detention Name:
Parents Notified By:	Notification Method:	Date and Time Notified:	Juvenile Released To:

RELEASE INFORMATION			
Released Location:	Released On:	Released By:	Release Reason:

SYNOPSIS:

On 1/5/2025, at approximately 1442 hours, Officers contacted [REDACTED] next to a shopping cart full of miscellaneous belongings partially blocking the sidewalk. [REDACTED] was detained for 54.0110 SDMC.

Officer Prewitt attempted to place [REDACTED] into handcuffs as he was walking away. [REDACTED] pulled his hands away aggressively, tensed up, pulled his hands to the front, and curled up into a ball, preventing Officers from placing him into handcuffs.

[REDACTED] was arrested and booked for 148(A)(1) PC - Obstructing/Resisting a Peace Officer and 54.0110 SDMC - Unauthorized Encroachment. [REDACTED] was transported and booked into Central Jail without further incident.

ORIGIN:

On 1/5/2025, at approximately 1442 hours, Officer Prewitt #1776 and I were in full police uniform, driving a marked patrol vehicle working Northern Division. We were dispatched to a radio call regarding a group

Reporting Officer SD1515 - INZUNZA, BRANDON	Division / Organization Northern Patrol (Northern)	Reviewed By SD7186 - STEIN, KEVIN
Report Date 1/5/2025 4:27:18 PM	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date 01/05/2025 23:30:13



San Diego Police Dept Arrest/Juvenile Contact Report

Case No. **25000720**

CAD Event No.: **E25010006446**

Case Disposition: **Arrest**

Primary Victim: **State of California**

Report No. **25000720.1**

3

Page 3 of 4

of 8 transients camped out and smoking narcotics at the intersection of Fanuel St and Garnet Ave., San Diego, CA 92109.

INVESTIGATION:

Upon arriving, Officer Prewitt #1776 and I located [REDACTED] (later positively identified via his California Drivers Licence) on the west curb line of 4400 Fanuel St. [REDACTED] was standing next to a shopping cart full of miscellaneous personal belongings, partially blocking the sidewalk. The shopping cart was placed in position along the sidewalk, likely to obstruct pedestrian traffic as they would likely have to walk around the shopping cart. [REDACTED] was detained for 54.0110 SDMC.

Officer Prewitt exited the marked patrol vehicle, approached, and contacted [REDACTED]. As [REDACTED] attempted to walk away, Officer Prewitt #1776 informed [REDACTED] that he was detained and ordered him not to leave and sit down. As Officer Prewitt reached for [REDACTED] left hand to place him into handcuffs, [REDACTED] immediately pulled his left hand away aggressively from Officer Prewitt. Refer to Officer Prewitt's Officer Report for further regarding his initial contact with [REDACTED].

Upon exiting the car, Officer Prewitt reached for [REDACTED] left arm and shoulder. I saw [REDACTED] immediately tense up and pull his arms in front of him. [REDACTED] fell to the ground and immediately curled up into a ball, continuing to place his hands in front of him.

I grabbed [REDACTED] left arm and used my physical strength to place his left arm behind his back while applying my body weight onto the upper left portion of [REDACTED] back. I felt [REDACTED] continue to tense up and actively pull his hands in front of him as I gave him multiple orders to place his hands behind his back. Another Officer approached and assisted me in continuing to attempt to put his left hand behind his back. I momentarily let go and resorted to using a distractionary blow to gain compliance. I used an open right-hand palm strike to strike the left side of [REDACTED] face approximately 3 times while continuing to tell him to give up. The strikes were effective as [REDACTED] relieved some tension and allowed us to place his hands behind his back and place handcuffs on him.

[REDACTED] took photographs of the scene and uploaded them to Evidence.com via the Axon Capture app.

I admonished [REDACTED] using my PD 145, to which [REDACTED] answered "yes" to Question #1 and "yes" to Question #2. I obtained [REDACTED] admonished statement.

ADMONISHED STATEMENT OF [REDACTED] (SUSPECT):

I just arrived 5-10 minutes ago. I was just standing up to throw my trash away. I was not doing anything. I never pulled away from the Officer. The Officer said I could throw my trash away.

END OF STATEMENT

Reporting Officer SD1515 - INZUNZA, BRANDON	Division / Organization Northern Patrol (Northern)	Reviewed By SD7186 - STEIN, KEVIN
Report Date 1/5/2025 4:27:18 PM	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date 01/05/2025 23:30:13



San Diego Police Dept Arrest/Juvenile Contact Report

Case No. **25000720**

CAD Event No.: **E25010006446**

Case Disposition: **Arrest**

Primary Victim: **State of California**

Report No. **25000720.1**

4

Page 4 of 4

██████ was arrested and booked for 148(A)(1) PC - Obstructing/Resisting a Peace Officer and 54.0110 SDMC - Unauthorized Encroachment. ██████ was transported and booked into Central Jail without further incident.

BACKGROUND:

None.

EVIDENCE:

Photos of the scene were uploaded to Evidence.com via the Axon Capture App.

INJURIES:

None.

PROPERTY DAMAGE:

None.

FOLLOW-UP:

None.

RELATED REPORTS:

Refer to Officer D. Prewitt #1776 and ██████████ use of force report for further.

BWC On
RIPA Submitted

Reporting Officer SD1515 - INZUNZA, BRANDON	Division / Organization Northern Patrol (Northern)	Reviewed By SD7186 - STEIN, KEVIN
Report Date 1/5/2025 4:27:18 PM	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date 01/05/2025 23:30:13



San Diego Police Dept Officer Report

CAD Event No.

Case No. **25000720**

Report No. **146930**

1

Page 1 of 1

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies: HR - Homeless Related		Related Cases:	
Location, City, State, ZIP: 4450 Fanuel St, San Diego, CA 92109		Occurred On: 1/5/2025 2:55:00 PM (Sunday)	
Jurisdiction: Pacific Beach - Northern	Beat: 122	Call Source: (and Between):	

INDIVIDUAL/S



Subject Injury Description:

Officer Injury Description:

REPORT NARRATIVE

On 01/05/2025, at approximately 1443 hours, I was wearing my full police uniform and operating from a marked patrol vehicle for the city of San Diego. I was dispatched to a radio call describing a group of transients camped out, blocking the sidewalk, and smoking narcotics out of pipes on Fanuel Street between Garnet Ave and Hornblend Street, San Diego, CA 92109.

As I was arriving on scene, Officer's Prewitt #1776 and Inzunza #1515 called for a cover now and that they were fighting with one subject. I saw both officers attempting to detain a transient male (later identified as [REDACTED] via a prior booking photo). The male was actively resisting officers and screaming. [REDACTED] was on his knees and attempting to stand up. I ran up and grabbed [REDACTED] left arm. [REDACTED] was attempting to tuck both of his arms under his body. I had to use my full strength in order to pull [REDACTED] left hand behind his back. I placed one handcuff on [REDACTED] left wrist. I then grabbed [REDACTED] right wrist. Officer Prewitt had to use two hands in order to hold [REDACTED] right arm still. I placed the other cuff onto [REDACTED] right wrist. The handcuffs were later adjusted to fit properly per policy.

I photographed the scene and uploaded them to evidence.com.

This ends my involvement in this investigation.

Reporting Officer [REDACTED]	Division / Organization NOPAT / NDIV - Northern	Reviewed By SD7186 - STEIN, KEVIN A
Report Date 1/5/2025 4:18:36 PM	Detective Assigned SD8684 - FONCELLO, SARAH N	Reviewed Date 1/5/2025 5:30:59 PM



San Diego Police Dept Officer Report

CAD Event No.

Case No. **25000720**Report No. **146938****1**

Page 1 of 2

GENERAL CASE INFORMATION

Special Studies:		Related Cases:	
Location, City, State, ZIP: 4400 Fanuel Street, San Diego, CA 92109		Occurred On: 1/5/2025 2:44:19 PM (Sunday)	
Jurisdiction: Pacific Beach - Northern	Beat: 122	Call Source:	(and Between):

INDIVIDUAL/S

[REDACTED]			
Attire:	Injury:	Extent Of Treatment:	Violent Crime Circumstances:
Subject Injury Description:			
Officer Injury Description:			

REPORT NARRATIVE

On 01-05-25 at 1442 hours Officer Inzunza #1515 and I were in full police uniforms while operating a marked police vehicle. We responded to a radio call to investigate possible narcotics at 4400 Fanuel.

When we arrived on the scene, I saw three males sitting in a circle at 4400 Fanuel. One male got up and left the scene before I could get out of the car. [REDACTED], who was identified with a prior booking photo, also tried to get up and leave. I told [REDACTED] he could not leave and that he was being detained.

[REDACTED] became upset and believed that he did not do anything. He stated, "I am not doing anything, I am just going to throw my trash away." I informed [REDACTED] that he was being detained because he was the subject of our radio call, and they were encroaching with all of their belongings on the sidewalk. I told [REDACTED] to sit back down, but he refused and became angry. [REDACTED] disregarded my command to sit down and began to walk away from us. [REDACTED] took several steps to prevent me from grabbing onto his hands. Because of [REDACTED] anger, I asked [REDACTED] to put his hands behind his back.

I grabbed [REDACTED] left wrist with my left hand and [REDACTED] instantly pulled away aggressively. [REDACTED] balled his left hand and snatched it downwards and away from his torso. I then grabbed [REDACTED] with both of my hands on his left shoulder and we fell to the ground. The movement of me grabbing onto his shoulder caused us to fall to the ground. I used both of my hands to grab [REDACTED] right wrist and attempted to place it behind his back. [REDACTED] intentionally locked both of his hands into his chest with his knees pulled into his hands while he was facing the ground. I gave him several verbal commands to place his hands behind his back. [REDACTED] refused the commands and I was unable to gain control of his hands for about 2 minutes. I used my open right hand to use a distractionary blow to the right side of [REDACTED] face. I used both of my hands to grab [REDACTED] right wrist and elbow and placed his hand behind his back until he was safely placed in handcuffs.

Reporting Officer SD1776 - PREWITT, DEMARCO T	Division / Organization NOPAT / NDIV - Northern	Reviewed By SD7186 - STEIN, KEVIN A
Report Date 1/5/2025 6:37:07 PM	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date 1/5/2025 11:23:28 PM



San Diego Police Dept Officer Report

CAD Event No.

Case No. **25000720**

Report No. **146938**

2

Page 2 of 2

█ was later placed into our police vehicle and taken to Headquarters for administrative processing. He was later transported and booked into Central Jail.

This concluded my involvement in the investigation. (See Officer Inzuzna's case report for more detail)

My BWC was on during the incident.

Reporting Officer SD1776 - PREWITT, DEMARCO T	Division / Organization NOPAT / NDIV - Northern	Reviewed By SD7186 - STEIN, KEVIN A
Report Date 1/5/2025 6:37:07 PM	Detective Assigned	Reviewed Date 1/5/2025 11:23:28 PM

Main

Events

Units

Messages

Lineups

Inquiry

Configure

**E25010006446 - 415 - DISTURBING PEACE
FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD**

Overview	Chronology	Event Unit	View Map	Event Details	Event Calls (1)
----------	-------------------	------------	----------	---------------	-----------------

[Print Page](#)

System Comments

<p>01/05/25 14:42:32 Terminal: tct30 Operator: 9118469</p>	<p>EVENT CREATED Type: 415 - DISTURBING PEACE</p> <p>-----</p> <p>LOCATION: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD</p> <p>-----</p> <p>Agency: SDPD Group: P1 Beat: 122 Status: P Priority: 2</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:42:32 Terminal: tct30 Operator: 9118469</p>	<p>INITIAL CALL Call Source: Caller Name: ██████████ Caller Phone Number: ██████████ Caller Address: IN AREA</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:42:32 Terminal: tct30 Operator: 9118469</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK [REDACTED] IN AREA [REDACTED] GROUP OF 8 TRANSIENTS SMOKING NARC OUT OF PIPES, CAMPED OUT BLOCKING SIDEWALK AND PARKING AREA AT GUTTER // UNK WPNS // NO DOGS SEEN // SUBJS ARE ON FANUEL, BTWN GARNET AND HORNBLEND ////////// RP ADV THERE IS STAY AWAY ORDER FOR ONE MALE W/ GROUP, SUPPOSED TO STAY OUT OF PB, [REDACTED] WRG: UNK, UNK WPNS // RP ADV [REDACTED] HAS BEEN ARRESTED IN PAST FOR WPNS AND NARC, AND RP CALLED ON AN ENCAMPMENT 2 YEARS AGO THAT [REDACTED] WAS AT, AND THERE WAS DEAD BODY FOUND // SS DNA</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:42:32 Terminal: DBServer Operator: 9118469</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK ** LOI search completed at 01/05/25 14:42:32</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:25 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 2 Total Assigned Units: 1</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:25 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1639</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:26 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED First Unit Dispatched Time: 01/05/25 14:43:25 Revision Number: 3 Status Code: A</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:26 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 4</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:43:37 Terminal: \$122V2 Operator: 1639</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED First Unit Enrouted Time: 01/05/25 14:43:37 Revision Number: 5</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:37 Terminal: \$122V2 Operator: 1639</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1639</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:49 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 6 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 14:43:49 Total Assigned Units: 2</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:49 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124Z2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1333, 1693</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:50 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124Z2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1333, 1693</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:43:59 Terminal: \$124Z2</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 7</p>

Operator: 1333	Total Assigned Units: 1 -----
01/05/25 14:43:59 Terminal: \$124Z2 Operator: 1333	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124Z2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1333, 1693 -----
01/05/25 14:44:01 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 8 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 14:44:01 Total Assigned Units: 2 -----
01/05/25 14:44:01 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1515, 1776 -----
01/05/25 14:44:02 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1515, 1776 -----
01/05/25 14:48:45 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515	UNIT UPDATED

	<p>Unit: 121Z2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:48:45 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED</p> <p>Unit: 121Z2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:48:46 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED</p> <p>Unit: 121Z2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:50:57 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK</p> <p>Reeves on Probation and has 4th Waiver per eWarrant</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:51:53 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK</p> <p>No Stay Away order from the ZIP of 92109 located</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:54:31 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED</p> <p>First Unit Arrived Time: 01/05/25 14:54:31 Revision Number: 9 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 14:54:31</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:54:31 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: 97 Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:04 Terminal: \$122V2 Operator: 1639</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: 97 Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1639</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:27 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK 121Z2 -- EMERGENCY TONE **</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:35 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 10 Total Assigned Units: 3</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:35 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 113J1 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 7994</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:55:36 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 11 Total Assigned Units: 4</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:36 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 111U2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1013, 1991</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:36 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 113J1 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 7994</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:37 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 111U2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1013, 1991</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:39 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 12 Total Assigned Units: 5</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:55:39 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122Y2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 7909</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:40 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 13 Total Assigned Units: 6</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:40 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 128J1 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1362</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:40 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122Y2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 7909</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:41 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 128J1 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1362</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:55:45 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 14 Total Assigned Units: 7</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:45 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:46 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:50 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 15 Total Assigned Units: 8</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:50 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124Z2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1333, 1693</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:55:51 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 16 Total Assigned Units: 9 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:51 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 113S2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 6827 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:51 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124Z2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1333, 1693 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:55:52 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 113S2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 6827 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:56:00 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK 122V2 -- ONE DET -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:56:28 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK 122V2 -- NO OFCR INJ -----</p>

<p>01/05/25 14:56:31 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 17 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 14:55:51 Total Assigned Units: 10</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:56:31 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 115V2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1992</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:56:32 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 115V2 Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1992</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:56:40 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 18 Total Assigned Units: 11</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:56:40 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 123S2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 7186</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 14:56:41 Terminal: trc01</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 123S2</p>

Operator: 8222	Status: ER Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 7186 -----
01/05/25 14:57:04 Terminal: \$111U2 Operator: 1991	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 19 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 14:57:04 Total Assigned Units: 10 -----
01/05/25 14:57:04 Terminal: \$111U2 Operator: 1991	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 111U2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1013, 1991 -----
01/05/25 14:57:38 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 20 Total Assigned Units: 11 -----
01/05/25 14:57:38 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: DP Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1901, 1961 -----
01/05/25 14:57:39 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 116Z2
Status: ER
Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD
Employees: 1901, 1961

01/05/25 14:58:02
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

EVENT UPDATED
Revision Number: 21
Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 14:58:02
Total Assigned Units: 10

01/05/25 14:58:02
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED
Unit: 113J1
Status: UC
Location:
Employees: 7994

01/05/25 14:58:02
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED
Unit: 113J1
Status: AV
Location:
Employees: 7994

01/05/25 14:59:58
Terminal: \$118K1
Operator: 1753

UNIT UPDATED
Unit: 118K1
Status: UC
Location:
Employees: 1753, 1765

01/05/25 14:59:58
Terminal: \$118K1

UNIT UPDATED
Unit: 118K1

Operator: 1753	Status: UC Location: Employees: 1753, 1765 -----
01/05/25 15:01:01 Terminal: \$128J1 Operator: 1362	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 22 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:01:01 Total Assigned Units: 9 -----
01/05/25 15:01:01 Terminal: \$128J1 Operator: 1362	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 128J1 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1362 -----
01/05/25 15:01:33 Terminal: \$122V2 Operator: 1639	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1639 -----
01/05/25 15:01:33 Terminal: \$122V2 Operator: 1639	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1639 -----

<p>01/05/25 15:01:33 Terminal: \$122V2 Operator: 1639</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1639</p> <hr/>
<p>01/05/25 15:02:31 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 23 Total Assigned Units: 8</p> <hr/>
<p>01/05/25 15:02:31 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124Z2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1333, 1693</p> <hr/>
<p>01/05/25 15:02:31 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124Z2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1333, 1693</p> <hr/>
<p>01/05/25 15:02:34 Terminal: \$122Y2 Operator: 7909</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 24 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:02:34 Total Assigned Units: 7</p> <hr/>
<p>01/05/25 15:02:34 Terminal: \$122Y2</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122Y2</p>

Operator: 7909	Status: AV Location: Employees: 7909 -----
01/05/25 15:03:29 Terminal: \$116Z2 Operator: 1901	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: 97 Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1901, 1961 -----
01/05/25 15:03:34 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 25 Total Assigned Units: 6 -----
01/05/25 15:03:34 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 115V2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1992 -----
01/05/25 15:03:34 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 115V2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1992 -----
01/05/25 15:03:42 Terminal: \$113S2 Operator: 6827	EVENT UPDATED

Revision Number: 26
Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:03:42
Total Assigned Units: 5

01/05/25 15:03:42
Terminal: \$113S2
Operator: 6827

UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 113S2
Status: AV
Location:
Employees: 6827

01/05/25 15:07:21
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

EVENT REMARK

121Z2 -- WILL BE APPLYING WRAP //123S2 T4

01/05/25 15:07:52
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

EVENT UPDATED

Revision Number: 27
Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:07:52
Total Assigned Units: 6

01/05/25 15:07:52
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 124U2
Status: DP
Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD
Employees: 2041, 7792

<p>01/05/25 15:07:53 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124U2 Status: 97 Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 2041, 7792</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:07:57 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: 97 Location: FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:08:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK 121Z2 -- ADV FIGHTING WITH ONE AT 1455 HRS</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:08:19 Terminal: DBServer Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK ** LOI search completed at 01/05/25 15:08:19</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:08:19 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: CL Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:08:19 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED</p>

	<p>Unit: 121Z2 Status: 97 Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:08:19 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: ER Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:08:50 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK 116Z2 -- WRAP NOT APPLIED // 123S2 T4</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 28 Total Assigned Units: 5</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 29 Total Assigned Units: 6</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 30 Total Assigned Units: 5</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 31</p>

Operator: 8222	Total Assigned Units: 6 -----
01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: DP Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1753, 1765 -----
01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 123S2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 7186 -----
01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 123S2 Status: DP Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 7186 -----
01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 123S2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 7186 -----
01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: UC Location:

	<p>Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:14 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:15 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 32 Total Assigned Units: 5</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:15 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 33 Total Assigned Units: 6</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:15 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 34 Total Assigned Units: 5</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:15 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 35 Total Assigned Units: 6</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:15 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: DP Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD</p>

Employees: 1901, 1961

01/05/25 15:09:15
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 118K1
Status: UC
Location:
Employees: 1753, 1765

01/05/25 15:09:15
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 118K1
Status: ER
Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD
Employees: 1753, 1765

01/05/25 15:09:15
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 118K1
Status: DP
Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD
Employees: 1753, 1765

01/05/25 15:09:15
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 118K1
Status: AV
Location:
Employees: 1753, 1765

01/05/25 15:09:15
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED

Unit: 116Z2
Status: AV
Location:

Employees: 1901, 1961

01/05/25 15:09:15
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED
Unit: 123S2
Status: ER
Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD
Employees: 7186

01/05/25 15:09:15
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED
Unit: 116Z2
Status: UC
Location:
Employees: 1901, 1961

01/05/25 15:09:16
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

EVENT UPDATED
Revision Number: 36
Total Assigned Units: 5

01/05/25 15:09:16
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

EVENT UPDATED
Revision Number: 37
Total Assigned Units: 6

01/05/25 15:09:16
Terminal: trc01
Operator: 8222

UNIT UPDATED
Unit: 122V2
Status: UC
Location:
Employees: 1639

<p>01/05/25 15:09:16 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1639</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:16 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: ER Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:16 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: DP Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1639</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:16 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: ER Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1901, 1961</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:09:17 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: ER Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1639</p> <p>-----</p>

01/05/25 15:09:24 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 38 Total Assigned Units: 5 -----
01/05/25 15:09:24 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 39 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:09:24 Total Assigned Units: 6 -----
01/05/25 15:09:24 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124U2 Status: DP Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 2041, 7792 -----
01/05/25 15:09:24 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124U2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 2041, 7792 -----
01/05/25 15:09:24 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124U2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 2041, 7792 -----
01/05/25 15:09:25 Terminal: trc01	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124U2

Operator: 8222	Status: ER Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 2041, 7792 -----
01/05/25 15:10:21 Terminal: \$123S2 Operator: 7186	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 123S2 Status: 97 Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 7186 -----
01/05/25 15:10:37 Terminal: \$124U2 Operator: 2041	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124U2 Status: 97 Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 2041, 7792 -----
01/05/25 15:10:57 Terminal: \$124U2 Operator: 2041	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 124U2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 2041, 7792 -----
01/05/25 15:10:58 Terminal: \$124U2 Operator: 2041	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 40 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:10:58 Total Assigned Units: 5 -----

<p>01/05/25 15:11:47 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 41 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:11:47 Total Assigned Units: 4</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:11:47 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:11:47 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 118K1 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1753, 1765</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:12:06 Terminal: \$116Z2 Operator: 1901</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: 97 Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1901, 1961</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:14:36 Terminal: \$116Z2 Operator: 1901</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1901, 1961</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 15:14:36 Terminal: \$116Z2 Operator: 1901</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1901, 1961</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:15:04 Terminal: \$116Z2 Operator: 1901</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 42 Total Assigned Units: 3</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:15:04 Terminal: \$116Z2 Operator: 1901</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 116Z2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1901, 1961</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:16:27 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK PER 113S2 - ADV THAT IF SUBJ DET IS SAME FROM THIS EVENT - SUBJ WILL BE AB109</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:17:05 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8222</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK LAST WAS AT 1500HRS</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:22:02 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Primary Employee Id: 1515 Primary Unit Id: 121Z2 Revision Number: 43 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:22:02</p> <p>-----</p>

<p>01/05/25 15:22:02 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK 148 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:22:02 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK ** Case number 25000720 has been assigned to event E25010006446 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:22:02 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>CASE NUMBER ASSIGNED 25000720</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:24:31 Terminal: \$122V2 Operator: 1639</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: 97 Location: 4400 FANUEL ST SD Employees: 1639 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:26:22 Terminal: \$123S2 Operator: 7186</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 44 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:26:22 Total Assigned Units: 2 -----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:26:22 Terminal: \$123S2 Operator: 7186</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 123S2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 7186 -----</p>

<p>01/05/25 15:37:21 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED First Unit Transported Time: 01/05/25 15:37:21 Revision Number: 45</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:37:21 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: TR Location: 1401 BROADWAY SD: @HQ Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:37:24 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED First Unit Transport Arrived Time: 01/05/25 15:37:24 Revision Number: 46 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 15:37:24</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 15:37:24 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: TA Location: 1401 BROADWAY SD: @HQ Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 16:27:08 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: TR Location: 1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL Employees: 1515, 1776</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 16:32:18 Terminal: \$121Z2</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2</p>

Operator: 1515	Status: TA Location: 1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL Employees: 1515, 1776 -----
01/05/25 16:54:10 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8868	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 47 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 16:54:10 Total Assigned Units: 1 -----
01/05/25 16:54:10 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8868	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: AV Location: Employees: 1639 -----
01/05/25 16:54:10 Terminal: trc01 Operator: 8868	UNIT UPDATED Unit: 122V2 Status: UC Location: Employees: 1639 -----
01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515	EVENT UPDATED Revision Number: 48 Total Assigned Units: 0 -----
01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515	EVENT CLOSED : -----

<p>01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT UPDATED Closing Employee Id: 1515 Closing Terminal: \$121Z2 Closing Time: 01/05/25 19:05:11 Is Open: False Revision Number: 49 Rms Transfer Time: 01/05/25 19:05:11</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>EVENT REMARK ** Event E25010006446 closed.</p> <p>-----</p>
<p>01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>DISPOSITION ASSIGNED A</p>
<p>01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>DISPOSITION ASSIGNED SS-Transient</p>
<p>01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>DISPOSITION ASSIGNED SS-Narcotic</p>
<p>01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>DISPOSITION ASSIGNED SS-MentallyIll</p>
<p>01/05/25 19:05:11 Terminal: \$121Z2 Operator: 1515</p>	<p>UNIT UPDATED Unit: 121Z2 Status: AV Location:</p>

Employees: 1515, 1776

Main Events Units Messages Lineups Inquiry Configure

**E25010006446 - 415 - DISTURBING PEACE
FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD**

Overview	Chronology	Event Unit	View Map	Event Details	Event Calls (1)
----------	------------	------------	----------	---------------	-----------------

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	DP	14:43:25	EICHLER, MATTHEW	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	ER	14:43:37	EICHLER, MATTHEW	1639	\$122V2	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
124Z2	7589	SDPD	P1	DP	14:43:49	ROTH, JUSTIN DURAN, MIGUEL	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745561	00037674/MDT/Default System (1333)*, 760076 (1693), 763790 (1333)
124Z2	7589	SDPD	P1	ER	14:43:50	ROTH, JUSTIN DURAN, MIGUEL	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745561	00037674/MDT/Default System (1333)*, 760076 (1693), 763790 (1333)
124Z2	7589	SDPD	P1	AV	14:43:59	ROTH, JUSTIN DURAN, MIGUEL	1333	\$124Z2			745561	00037674/MDT/Default System (1333)*, 760076 (1693), 763790 (1333)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	DP	14:44:01	INZUNZA, BRANDON PREWITT, DEMARCO	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	ER	14:44:02	INZUNZA, BRANDON PREWITT, DEMARCO	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	UC	14:48:45	INZUNZA, BRANDON PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2		Unit [121Z2] Inf Issue Qry 0:1515CLETS - IR QVC:NAM [REDACTED]	745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	UC	14:48:46	INZUNZA, BRANDON PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2		Unit [121Z2] Inf Issue Qry 0:1515CLETS - IN L1:NAM [REDACTED]	745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	97	14:54:31	INZUNZA, BRANDON 'PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	97	14:55:04	EICHLER, MATTHEW	1639	\$122V2	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
113J1	7590	SDPD	P1	DP	14:55:35	TORRES, ABEL	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746864	0003709B/MDT/Default System (7994)*, 7660403 (7994)
111U2	7168	SDPD	P1	DP	14:55:36	FOUST, NATHAN 'TRUJILLO, SOFIA	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746296	00037A8C/MDT/Default System (1991)*, 760299 (1013), 762126 (1991)
113J1	7590	SDPD	P1	ER	14:55:36	TORRES, ABEL	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746864	0003709B/MDT/Default System (7994)*, 7660403 (7994)
111U2	7168	SDPD	P1	ER	14:55:37	FOUST, NATHAN 'TRUJILLO, SOFIA	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746296	00037A8C/MDT/Default System (1991)*, 760299 (1013), 762126 (1991)
122Y2	7290	SDPD	P1	DP	14:55:39	JORDAN, JUSTIN T	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745119	00037055/MDT/Default System (7909)*, 760275 (7909)
122Y2	7290	SDPD	P1	ER	14:55:40	JORDAN, JUSTIN T	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745119	00037055/MDT/Default System (7909)*, 760275 (7909)
128J1	7611	SDPD	P1	DP	14:55:40	PALMIOTTO, THOMAS	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745898	000386C2/MDT/Default System (1362)*, 760267 (1362)
128J1	7611	SDPD	P1	ER	14:55:41	PALMIOTTO, THOMAS	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745898	000386C2/MDT/Default System (1362)*, 760267 (1362)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	DP	14:55:45	ALONZO, ANDREW 'DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	ER	14:55:46	ALONZO, ANDREW 'DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
124Z2	7589	SDPD	P1	DP	14:55:50	ROTH, JUSTIN 'DURAN, MIGUEL	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745561	00037674/MDT/Default System (1333)*, 760076 (1693), 763790 (1333)
113S2	7502	SDPD	P1	DP	14:55:51	SCHEIDECKER, ANDREW	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET		745055	0003747E/MDT/Default System (6827)*, 760593

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
									AVE SD			(6827)
124Z2	7589	SDPD	P1	ER	14:55:51	ROTH, JUSTIN DURAN, MIGUEL	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745561	00037674/MDT/Default System (1333)*, 760076 (1693), 763790 (1333)
113S2	7502	SDPD	P1	ER	14:55:52	SCHEIDECKER, ANDREW	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745055	0003747E/MDT/Default System (6827)*, 760593 (6827)
115V2	7675	SDPD	P1	DP	14:56:31	RODRIGUEZ, RAYMOND	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746822	000373C3/MDT/Default System (1992)*, 760672 (1992)
115V2	7675	SDPD	P1	ER	14:56:32	RODRIGUEZ, RAYMOND	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746822	000373C3/MDT/Default System (1992)*, 760672 (1992)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	DP	14:56:40	STEIN, KEVIN	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	ER	14:56:41	STEIN, KEVIN	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)
111U2	7168	SDPD	P1	AV	14:57:04	FOUST, NATHAN TRUJILLO, SOFIA	1991	\$111U2			746296	00037A8C/MDT/Default System (1991)*, 760299 (1013), 762126 (1991)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	DP	14:57:38	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY BASZILE, TAJ	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	ER	14:57:39	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY BASZILE, TAJ	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
113J1	7590	SDPD	P1	UC	14:58:02	TORRES, ABEL	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 113J1	746864	0003709B/MDT/Default System (7994)*, 7660403 (7994)
113J1	7590	SDPD	P1	AV	14:58:02	TORRES, ABEL	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 113J1	746864	0003709B/MDT/Default System (7994)*, 7660403 (7994)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	UC	14:59:58	ALONZO, ANDREW DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	1753	\$118K1		Unit [118K1] Inf Issue Qry 0:1753CLETS-IV..LIC [REDACTED]	745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
128J1	7611	SDPD	P1	AV	15:01:01	PALMIOTTO, THOMAS	1362	\$128J1			745898	000386C2/MDT/Default System (1362)*, 760267 (1362)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	UC	15:01:33	EICHLER, MATTHEW	1639	\$122V2		Unit [122V2] Inf Issue Qry 0:1639CLETS - IM QM:.NAM/ [REDACTED]	745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
												(1639)
124Z2	7589	SDPD	P1	AV	15:02:31	ROTH, JUSTIN ' DURAN, MIGUEL	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 124Z2	745561	00037674/MDT/Default System (1333)*, 760076 (1693), 763790 (1333)
124Z2	7589	SDPD	P1	UC	15:02:31	ROTH, JUSTIN ' DURAN, MIGUEL	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 124Z2	745561	00037674/MDT/Default System (1333)*, 760076 (1693), 763790 (1333)
122Y2	7290	SDPD	P1	AV	15:02:34	JORDAN, JUSTIN T	7909	\$122Y2			745119	00037055/MDT/Default System (7909)*, 760275 (7909)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	97	15:03:29	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY ' BASZILE, TAJ	1901	\$116Z2	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
115V2	7675	SDPD	P1	AV	15:03:34	RODRIGUEZ, RAYMOND	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 115V2	746822	000373C3/MDT/Default System (1992)*, 760672 (1992)
115V2	7675	SDPD	P1	UC	15:03:34	RODRIGUEZ, RAYMOND	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 115V2	746822	000373C3/MDT/Default System (1992)*, 760672 (1992)
113S2	7502	SDPD	P1	AV	15:03:42	SCHEIDECKER, ANDREW	6827	\$113S2			745055	0003747E/MDT/Default System (6827)*, 760593 (6827)
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	DP	15:07:52	MALQUED, BRENT ' DEL TORO, DALLAS	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	97	15:07:53	MALQUED, BRENT ' DEL TORO, DALLAS	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	97	15:07:57	ALONZO, ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	8222	trc01	FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD		745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	97	15:08:19	INZUNZA, BRANDON ' PREWITT, DEMARCO	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	ER	15:08:19	INZUNZA, BRANDON ' PREWITT, DEMARCO	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	CL	15:08:19	INZUNZA, BRANDON ' PREWITT, DEMARCO	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
						PREWITT , DEMARCO			SD			(1515), 761494 (1776)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	UC	15:09:14	STEIN , KEVIN	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 123S2	746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	DP	15:09:14	STEIN , KEVIN	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	UC	15:09:14	ALONZO , ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO , FRANK	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 118K1	745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	DP	15:09:14	ALONZO , ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO , FRANK	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	AV	15:09:14	STEIN , KEVIN	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 123S2	746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	AV	15:09:14	ALONZO , ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO , FRANK	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 118K1	745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	AV	15:09:15	ALONZO , ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO , FRANK	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 118K1	745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	UC	15:09:15	MARTINEZ , ZACHARY ' BASZILE , TAJ	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 116Z2	745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	ER	15:09:15	ALONZO , ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO , FRANK	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	UC	15:09:15	ALONZO , ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO , FRANK	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 118K1	745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	ER	15:09:15	STEIN , KEVIN	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	AV	15:09:15	MARTINEZ , ZACHARY ' BASZILE , TAJ	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 116Z2	745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	DP	15:09:15	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY 'BASZILE, TAJ	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	DP	15:09:15	ALONZO, ANDREW 'DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	UC	15:09:16	EICHLER, MATTHEW	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 122V2	745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	ER	15:09:16	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY 'BASZILE, TAJ	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	ER	15:09:16	ALONZO, ANDREW 'DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	DP	15:09:16	EICHLER, MATTHEW	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	AV	15:09:16	EICHLER, MATTHEW	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 122V2	745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	ER	15:09:17	EICHLER, MATTHEW	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	AV	15:09:24	MALQUED, BRENT 'DEL TORO, DALLAS	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 124U2	745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	DP	15:09:24	MALQUED, BRENT 'DEL TORO, DALLAS	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	UC	15:09:24	MALQUED, BRENT 'DEL TORO, DALLAS	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 124U2	745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	ER	15:09:25	MALQUED, BRENT 'DEL TORO, DALLAS	8222	trc01	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	97	15:10:21	STEIN, KEVIN	7186	\$123S2	4400 FANUEL ST SD		746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	97	15:10:37	MALQUED, BRENT ' DEL TORO, DALLAS	2041	\$124U2	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
124U2	7503	SDPD	P1	AV	15:10:57	MALQUED, BRENT ' DEL TORO, DALLAS	2041	\$124U2			745155	000372F9/MDT/Default System (2041)*, 761556 (2041), 761889 (7792)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	UC	15:11:47	ALONZO, ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 118K1	745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
118K1	7689	SDPD	P1	AV	15:11:47	ALONZO, ANDREW ' DEL ROSARIO, FRANK	8222	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 118K1	745003	0003864E/MDT/Default System (1753)*, 760109 (1753), 762185 (1765)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	97	15:12:06	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY ' BASZILE, TAJ	1901	\$116Z2	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	UC	15:14:36	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY ' BASZILE, TAJ	1901	\$116Z2		Unit [116Z2] Inf Issue Qry 0:1901CLETS- IA QV.:LIC/ [REDACTED]	745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
116Z2	7761	SDPD	P1	AV	15:15:04	MARTINEZ, ZACHARY ' BASZILE, TAJ	1901	\$116Z2			745298	00037445/MDT/Default System (1901)*, 760691 (1901), 760841 (1901), 762700 (1961)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	97	15:24:31	EICHLER, MATTHEW	1639	\$122V2	4400 FANUEL ST SD		745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
123S2	7387	SDPD	P1	AV	15:26:22	STEIN, KEVIN	7186	\$123S2			746200	000370A2/MDT/Default System (7186)*, 761290 (7186)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	TR	15:37:21	INZUNZA, BRANDON ' PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2	1401 BROADWAY SD: @HQ		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	TA	15:37:24	INZUNZA, BRANDON ' PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2	1401 BROADWAY SD: @HQ		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	TR	16:27:08	INZUNZA, BRANDON ' PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)

Unit ID	Car ID	Agency	Dispatch Group	Status	Time	Employee	ID	Terminal	Location	Comment	Unit Devices	Employee Devices
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	TA	16:32:18	INZUNZA, BRANDON PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2	1173 FRONT ST SD: @CENTRAL JAIL		745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	AV	16:54:10	EICHLER, MATTHEW	8868	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 122V2	745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
122V2	7430	SDPD	P1	UC	16:54:10	EICHLER, MATTHEW	8868	trc01		Preempt:CAD AUTOMATIC PREEMPT Unit 122V2	745903	00037301/MDT/Default System (1639)*, 760236 (1639)
121Z2	7500	SDPD	P1	AV	19:05:11	INZUNZA, BRANDON PREWITT, DEMARCO	1515	\$121Z2			745154	000372FA/MDT/Default System (1515)*, 761156 (1515), 761494 (1776)

**E25010006446 - 415 - DISTURBING PEACE
FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD**

EVENT INFORMATION - E25010006446

01/05/25

Event Type	Event ID	Priority	Status	Source	DGroup	Case Numbers	Disposition Code	Terminal	Dup No.	Calltaker ID
415	E25010006446	2	A		P1	25000720	A,SS-Transient,SS-Narcotic,SS-MentallyIll	tct30		9118469

AGENCY INFORMATION

Agency	Priority	DGroup	ESZ	Area	Added	Dispatched	Arrived	Closed	Close ID	Close Terminal	Event ID	Primary Unit	Situation	Comments
SDPD	2	P1	122	122	01/05/25 14:42:32	01/05/25 14:43:25	01/05/25 14:54:31	01/05/25 19:05:11	1515	\$121Z2	E25010006446	121Z2		

REMARKS

Common Agency Specific


██████████ IN AREA / ██████████ GROUP OF 8 TRANSIENTS SMOKING NARC OUT OF PIPES, CAMPED OUT BLOCKING SIDEWALK AND PARKING AREA AT GUTTER // UNK WPNS // NO DOGS SEEN // SUBJS ARE ON FANUEL, BTWN GARNET AND HORNBLEND ////////////// RP ADV THERE IS STAY AWAY ORDER FOR ONE MALE W/ GROUP, SUPPOSED TO STAY OUT OF PB, ██████████ WRG: UNK, UNK WPNS // RP ADV ██████████ HAS BEEN ARRESTED IN PAST FOR WPNS AND NARC. AND RP CALLED ON AN ENCAMPMENT 2 YEARS AGO THAT ██████████ WAS AT, AND THERE WAS DEAD BODY FOUND // SS DNA Reeves on Probation and has 4th Waiver per eWarrant
No Stay Away order from the ZIP of 92109 located
121Z2 -- EMERGENCY TONE **
122V2 -- ONE DET
122V2 -- NO OFCR INJ
121Z2 -- WILL BE APPLYING WRAP //123S2 T4
121Z2 -- ADV FIGHTING WITH ONE AT 1455 HRS
116Z2 -- WRAP NOT APPLIED // 123S2 T4
PER 113S2 - ADV THAT IF SUBJ DET IS SAME FROM THIS EVENT - SUBJ WILL BE AB109
LAST WAS AT 1500HRS
148

LOCATION INFORMATION

FANUEL ST/GARNET AVE SD

X-STREET 1: FANUEL ST
X-STREET 2: GARNET AVE

[Location Choices](#)



CALLER INFORMATION

Name: ██████████

Phone: [REDACTED]

Address: IN AREA

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Person 0

Vehicle 0

Property 0

Contact Name 0

Incident Times 0